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## A. NUMBER OF FREQUENCY GRID POINTS NEEDED FOR AN ACCURATE 2D-ELDOR SPECTRUM

To estimate the number of grid points necessary to obtain an accurate 2D-ELDOR spectrum, we first calculate a reference 2D-ELDOR spectrum with $n=1000$ frequency grid points through the regular Arnoldi method. We can be confident that $n=1000$ corresponds to an extremely high resolution 2D-ELDOR spectrum. Then for $n=100,200,300,500$, we calculate the 2D-ELDOR spectrum through the regular Arnoldi method again. For each value of $n$, we use spline interpolation to interpolate to the reference case $(n=1000)$. For each point in the 2D-frequency grid of $1000 \times 1000$ points, we then evaluate the relative error between the true value of $S_{c-}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)$ and the interpolated value of $S_{c-}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)$ based on the smaller value of $n$. The maximum of the relative errors (MRE) over the $1000 \times 1000$ grid, for each value of $n$, is shown in Fig. A.1. As expected, this error decays to 0 as the grid becomes finer, i.e., $n$ increases. We take our threshold to be $1 \% \mathrm{MRE}$, to guarantee a sufficiently accurate calculation for purposes of non-linear least squares fitting to experimental data.


FIG. A.1: $R_{\|}=10^{5} s^{-1}, k_{s y m}=10^{6} s^{-1}$, mixing time $T_{m i x}=500 \mathrm{~ns}$. The black dotted line indicates the $1 \%$ error level, corresponding to $n \sim 250$. Other parameters are as in Fig. 6.

## B. NUMBER OF FREQUENCY GRID POINTS NEEDED FOR AN ACCURATE CW SPECTRUM

To estimate the number of grid points necessary to obtain an accurate CW spectrum, we first calculate a reference integrated CW spectrum with $n=1000$ frequency grid points. We can be confident that $n=1000$ corresponds to an extremely high resolution CW spectrum. Then for $n=100,200,300,500$, we calculate the integrated CW spectrum again. For each value of $n$, we use spline interpolation to interpolate to the reference case ( $n=1000$ ). For each point in the 1D-frequency grid of 1000 points, we then evaluate the relative error between the true value of $S_{c-}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)$ and the interpolated value of $S_{c-}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)$ based on the smaller value of $n$. The maximum of the relative errors (MRE) over the 1000 frequency grid points, for each value of $n$, is shown in Fig. B.1. As expected, this error decays to 0 as the grid becomes finer, i.e., $n$ increases. We take our threshold to be $1 \%$ MRE, to guarantee a sufficiently accurate calculation for purposes of non-linear least squares fitting to experimental data.


FIG. B.1: $R_{\|}=10^{5} s^{-1}$, diffusion tilt angle $\beta_{d}=90^{\circ}$. The black dotted line indicates the $1 \%$ error level, corresponding to $n \sim 200$.

