STRUCTURAL BIOLOGY

Engineered chemotaxis core signaling units indicate a constrained kinase-off state

Alise R. Muok^{1,2}, Teck Khiang Chua¹, Madhur Srivastava^{1,3}, Wen Yang², Zach Maschmann¹, Petr P. Borbat^{1,3}, Jenna Chong¹, Sheng Zhang¹, Jack H. Freed^{1,3}, Ariane Briegel², Brian R. Crane¹*

Bacterial chemoreceptors, the histidine kinase CheA, and the coupling protein CheW form transmembrane molecular arrays with remarkable sensing properties. The receptors inhibit or stimulate CheA kinase activity depending on the presence of attractants or repellants, respectively. We engineered chemoreceptor cytoplasmic regions to assume a trimer of receptor dimers configuration that formed well-defined complexes with CheA and CheW and promoted a CheA kinase-off state. These mimics of core signaling units were assembled to homogeneity and investigated by site-directed spin-labeling with pulse-dipolar electron-spin resonance spectroscopy (PDS), small-angle x-ray scattering, targeted protein cross-linking, and cryo–electron microscopy. The kinase-off state was especially stable, had relatively low domain mobility, and associated the histidine substrate and docking domains with the kinase core, thus preventing catalytic activity. Together, these data provide an experimentally restrained model for the inhibited state of the core signaling unit and suggest that chemoreceptors indirectly sequester the kinase and substrate domains to limit histidine autophosphorylation.

INTRODUCTION

Many bacteria use a complex transmembrane sensory apparatus to modulate their motility in response to the chemical environment [reviewed in (1-4)]. Extensively studied in Escherichia coli (Ec), bacterial chemotaxis relies on transmembrane chemoreceptors to link extracellular signals to intracellular phosphorylation events (1-4). The receptors, also known as methyl-accepting chemotaxis proteins (MCPs), organize into a trimer of dimers (TOD) that further assemble their membrane-distal tips with the cytoplasmic dimeric histidine kinase CheA and coupling protein CheW to produce an extended molecular lattice (Fig. 1A) capable of highly sensitive, cooperative responses (5-7). MCPs regulate CheA autophoshorylation rates in response to binding signals from external ligands. Phosphoryl transfer from autophosphorylated (activated) CheA to CheY activates CheY to switch the direction of flagellar rotation. MCPs also undergo methylation and demethylation on specific glutamate residues by the methyl transferase CheR and the methyl esterase CheB, respectively. In E. coli, MCP methylation activates CheA and thereby opposes the action of attractant binding, which inhibits the kinase. Despite considerable advances, it remains unclear how the ligand and methylation status of receptors regulates CheA autophosphorylation activity (1-4).

CheA is a complex dimeric kinase composed of five domains (P1 to P5), each with a distinct function. P1 contains the phosphateaccepting histidine residue, P2 docks CheY, P3 mediates CheA dimerization, P4 is a kinase domain, and P5 interacts with CheW to form the ring structure that is integral to the array architecture. The CheA P1 domain contains the substrate histidine residue [His⁴⁸ in *Ec* CheA and His⁴⁵ in *Thermotoga maritima* (*Tm*) CheA] and consists of five α helices (A to E), with A to D composing a four-helix bundle connected to helix E by a flexible linker (*8*, *9*). The CheA domains are quite flexible in the free kinase, with the P1 and P2 modules sampling considerable conformational space (10-12). However, incorporation of CheA into the receptor arrays constrains and controls its conformation in ways that are not well understood (13-16).

Biophysical, biochemical, and genetic approaches have combined to define the architecture and sensing behavior of the arrays [reviewed in (1-3)]. CheA sits at the interface of two TODs, with the helical P3 domain projecting between them toward the membrane (Fig. 1A). Paralogous P5 and CheW interact through conserved surfaces at the ends of their β barrels to form rings composed of two types of interfaces: interface 1, which is proximal to P3 and involves P5 subdomain 1 and CheW subdomain 2; and interface 2, which is distal from P3 and involves P5 subdomain 2 and CheW subdomain 1 (Fig. 1A). Dimeric MCPs interact in grooves at the center of CheW and P5 on the external surfaces of the rings (Fig. 1A). CheW-only rings may form on the sixfold symmetry axis of the hexagonal lattice (16). The P4 kinase domains suspend below the receptor tips and align underneath the P5 domains. Long, flexible linkers connect P1 and P2 to each other (L1) and P2 to P3 (L2), whereas linkers between P3 and P4 (L3), and P4 and P5 (L4), play an important role in kinase activity and regulation (17-19). Positions of the P1 and P2 domains are not formally known, although cryoelectron tomography (cryo-ET) suggests that they reside below the P4 domains of deactivated kinase (15, 20). However, the P1 and P2 positions are uncertain owing to limiting resolution in the cryo-ET reconstructions and/or domain mobility. The P4 domains are also not fully discernible in the tomograms of either in vivo or reconstituted arrays but appear more ordered when the kinase is inactive (13, 15, 16, 20, 21). Molecular dynamics (MD)-assisted modeling against 12-Å-resolution cryo-ET data has better defined two predominant conformations of the P4 domain below the P5-CheW layer and suggests that kinase regulation may involve transitions between these conformations (14).

The ability of receptors to alter *Ec* CheA phosphotransfer rates by several hundred-fold suggests that the kinase assumes substantially different structural and dynamical states associated with these

Copyright © 2020 The Authors, some rights reserved; exclusive licensee American Association for the Advancement of Science. No claim to original U.S. Government Works

¹Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA. ²Institute for Biology, Leiden University, Sylviusweg 72, 2333 BE Leiden, Netherlands. ³National Biomedical Center for Advanced ESR Technologies (ACERT), Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA. *Corresponding author. Email: bc69@cornell.edu



Fig. 1. Tar and Tm14 foldons mimic receptor trimer of dimers. (A) Topography of a chemoreceptor array with a core signaling unit outlined (dotted line) as viewed from the extracellular side of the membrane. CheA (blue) and CheW (green) associate with chemoreceptor dimers (magenta) and form six-membered rings that are linked together in the repeating units of the array (gray outlines around receptor domains). CheA domain P5 (P5) and CheW (W) associate through interface 1 and interface 2 (arrows). Array symmetry is denoted by hexagons. CheW-only rings reside on the sixfold symmetry axis of the arrays. CheA domain P3 (P3) dimerizes between two receptor trimers of dimers (TODs, magenta). (B) Side view of a model of a foldon TOD mimic trimerized by a fibritin motif (dashed box). (C) Quantification of T. maritima (Tm) CheA autokinase activity in the presence of CheW only (TmA/W) or CheW plus either the Tm14 foldon (Tm14Fo) or the E. coli Tar foldon (TarFo). Curves were fit to $a = a0(1 - \exp(-kt))$, with $a0^*k$ representing the relative initial rates. Parentheses represent 95% confidence values from global fits to three independent measurements at each time point. TmA/W: a0 = 2.1 [A-P] (1.9, 2.3), $k = 0.5 \text{ s}^{-1}$ (0.3, 0.8), $a0^*k = 1.1 \text{ [A-P] s}^{-1}$; TmA/W + Tm14Fo: a0 = 1.1 [A-P] (0.9, 1.4), $k = 0.5 \text{ s}^{-1}$ (0.3, 1.0), $a0^*k = 0.6 \text{ [A-P] s}^{-1}$; TmA/W + TarFo: a0 = 0.2 [A-P] (0.1, 0.4), $k = 0.4 \text{ s}^{-1}$ (0.0, 1.3), $a0^*k = 0.1$ [A-P] s⁻¹. (**D**) Autoradiography of *T. maritima* (Tm) CheA and E. coli CheA autophosphorylation in the presence of CheW and Tm14Fo or TarFo. Twelve-minute assay for Tm CheA, 15 s. For E. coli CheA, N = 3 independent experiments. Samples were run in nonadjacent lanes on the same or parallel-processed gels that were imaged together. Complete autoradiograms are shown in fig. S4.

activity changes (1–4). Activity assays with P1 supplied as a separated substrate to the core kinase (domains P3, P4, and P5, referred to here as P3P4P5) in complex with MCPs indicate that activation primarily derives from increases in k_{cat} and not from changes to the P1 Michaelis constant (K_M) (22, 23). Furthermore, both adenosine 5'-triphosphate (ATP) binding and autophosphorylation (which includes both P1 binding and phosphate transfer) are steps potentially regulated by the receptors (23). Removal of one of the two P1 domains from a CheA dimer increases autophosphorylation activity, suggesting that the P1 domains may interfere with each other (24, 25). MD simulations of array models based on cryo-ET data indicate that the P4 domains "dip" toward each other from a position where the P4 ATP-binding pocket and flexible ATP "lid" reside near the P5-CheW layer (14, 16). It follows that changes in kinase activity relate to P4 location or motion.

Despite the extensive coupling of components within the natural arrays, a core signaling unit composed of two TODs, one dimeric CheA, and two CheW proteins shows considerable functionality in both nanodisc reconstitutions and cells (7, 26–28). In efforts to reconstitute a homogeneous signaling particle of these components, we previously developed a receptor engineering approach, wherein

Muok et al., Sci. Signal. 13, eabc1328 (2020) 10 November 2020

single-chain versions of cytoplasmic receptor signaling domain "dimers" were trimerized by the foldon domain from bacteriophage T4 fibritin (12). These so-called receptor foldons produce trimeric species that are capable of modulating CheA activity in vitro (12). Here, we extended the foldon approach to reconstitute core signaling units in a highly inhibited state and characterized this species by a variety of biophysical methods, including small-angle x-ray scattering (SAXS), interface analysis by cross-linking and massspectrometry, and pulse-dipolar electron-spin resonance (ESR) spectroscopy (PDS). The results showed that inhibited CheA exhibits increased interactions among the P1, P2, and P4 domains and relatively well-ordered L1 and L2 connecting loops. Furthermore, we provide direct evidence for the P4 "dipped conformation" observed in MD simulations (16) and compose models of the foldon-associated signaling particles that capture this property.

RESULTS

TOD receptor foldons produce functional ternary complexes Single-chain receptors that fuse the dimer subunits reduce the complexity and symmetry of the ternary complex (11, 12, 29). Soluble receptor fragments containing a nonnative trimerization motif preform a stable TOD arrangement in the absence of the membrane and associate with CheA and CheW (12). The chimeras consist of a fused dyad of receptor cytoplasmic domains (each with 71 residues) from either the Tm MCP Tm14 or Ec MCP Tar linked at the C terminus to a "fold-on" trimerization motif from the T4 phage protein fibritin (Fig. 1B and fig. S1A). The receptor segment includes the ~36-residue highly conserved protein interaction region (PIR) that interacts with CheW and P5 of CheA and mediates the TOD trimer contact. Despite deriving from divergent bacteria, the Tm14 and Tar foldons share 44% identity and 67% sequence similarity over their 71-residue sequences, including 72% identity and 86% similarity over the 36-residue PIR that binds to CheA and CheW. Previous SAXS data and spin-labeling studies with the Tar foldon revealed a compact, globular shape with associated tips (12). Multiangle light scattering (MALS) experiments with the purified foldons confirmed trimerization in solution (fig. S1, B and D) and stable interactions with CheA and CheW (fig. S1, C and E).

MALS was also used to find the optimal buffer conditions for producing soluble, homogeneous ternary complexes. Complexes composed of either the Tm14 or Tar foldons were isolated by size exclusion chromatography (SEC) after incubating equimolar amounts of the receptor mimetics with *Tm* CheA and CheW in various buffer conditions. Ternary complex yield increased with buffers of high KCl content (250 mM) and low pH (6.5) (fig. S2). In the case of the Tm14 foldon, subsequent MALS analyses of two resulting highmolecular weight (MW) SEC peaks revealed particles of masses 220 and 440 kDa, respectively (fig. S3, A and B). Furthermore, these two species differed in their CheA activity, with the larger complex showing more inhibition (fig. S3C). Given the extended nature of the native of arrays, it is unsurprising that the Tar foldon complexes produced several different assembly states; nonetheless, they could be separated based on hydrodynamic properties (fig. S2, A and B).

Isolated ternary complexes were analyzed by SEC coupled to SAXS (SEC-SAXS) to determine sample size, homogeneity, particle flexibility, and spatial extent. Complexes formed from receptor foldons, CheW, and full-length CheA or CheA P3P4P5 represented well-defined particles of expected sizes (table S1 and fig. S3D). SAXS data collected across each respective SEC peak indicated separation of the reconstituted species into relatively homogeneous complexes of different sizes (table S1). In general, the complex size decreased with P3P4P5 compared to full-length CheA, and particle size increased when CheW and the receptor foldons were included in the complexes.

Both the Tar foldon and Tm14 foldon were tested for their ability to modulate Tm CheA autophosphorylation activity by radioisotope incorporation from γ -³²P-ATP (Fig. 1, C and D, and fig. S4). Previous work indicates that Tar receptor foldons activate Ec CheA autophosphorylation (24); such behavior was recapitulated here and shown to be CheW dependent (Fig. 1D and fig. S4A). In contrast, complexes formed by the Tar and Tm14 foldons with Tm CheA and CheW deactivated the kinase 20-fold and 2.5-fold, respectively (Fig. 1C and fig. S4B). At the level of assay detection, the Tar foldon turned off Tm CheA activity nearly completely. Given this ability of the Tar foldon to strongly inhibit the Tm kinase, Tar foldon complexes with Tm CheA and Tm CheW (produced at pH 6.5 and 150 mM KCl; fig. S2) were isolated for further analysis. To better evaluate the degree to which these particles mimicked native assembly states of core signaling particles, we evaluated the consequences of residue substitutions in the PIRs that are known to alter the functional properties of the native complex.

Single-residue changes in receptor PIRs affected trimer stability and CheA responses. For example, Ec Tsr R388F and R388W (Tar R386F and R386W) and Tsr E391A (Tar E389A) alter cell tumbling bias and trimer stability (30). Tar foldon R386F was significantly more stable than the parental Tar foldon (fig. S5). This variant could be purified from cell extracts in much higher amounts than the wild-type Tar foldon (20 mg of protein/liter of cell culture vs. 6 mg/liter), and the purified protein reached higher concentrations (~7 mg/ml compared to ~2 mg/ml; fig. S5). However, MALS and chemical cross-linking with the lysine-specific chemical cross-linker disuccinimidyl sulfoxide (DSSO) showed that the R386F variant neither trimerized nor produced stable complexes with CheA and CheW (fig. S5). Furthermore, autophosphorylation assays demonstrated that the R386F variant only exhibited ~1.5-fold deactivation of Tm CheA. Similarly, the R386W variant did not trimerize, failed to complex with CheA and CheW, and did not affect CheA autophosphorylation (fig. S5). The Tar E389A foldon was unstable and only present in high-MW aggregates after purification on SEC. Mutagenesis studies and MD simulations implicate the equivalent of Tm14 residue F395 in receptor on-off switching (31). The Tm14 F395W foldon produced complexes with Tm CheA similar to those of the wild-type Tm14 foldon but did not alter CheA kinase activity (fig. S5). Thus, single-residue substitutions in the receptor tips known to affect CheA activity in vivo also altered the integrity of the foldon complexes and showed perturbed effects on kinase activity, ruling against a largely nonnative assembly of the particles.

Structural characterization by SAXS, cryo-EM, and interface mapping indicate a compact inhibited ternary complex

We further stabilized the deactivated ternary complex of the Tar foldon, *Tm* CheA, and *Tm* CheW by DSSO cross-linking before SEC purification (Fig. 2A). The ~320-kDa MW of the complex (by MALS) agreed with the composition of a core signaling unit (two Tar foldons, two CheA subunits, and two CheW subunits; Fig. 2B). SEC-SAXS of the cross-linked particles produced an MW similar to that found by MALS [MW (V_C) = 305 kDa; MW (V_P) = 328 kDa; table S1 and fig. S6A]. Some contribution from a higher-MW species (~440 kDa) was evident in the SEC-SAXS data when analyzed by singular value decomposition (SVD) with evolving factor analysis (32); however, the larger species component could be separated in the analysis. The dimensionless Kratky plot (fig. S6B) reflected a spherical particle with little indication of the flexibility found in the free CheA kinase (24).

Compositional and conformational homogeneity of the isolated cross-linked complexes was assessed by transmission cryo–electron microscopy (cryo-EM; Fig. 2C). When unlinked ternary complexes of the Tar foldon with *Tm* CheA and CheW were analyzed, attempts at two-dimensional (2D) classification of the particles were unsuccessful because the particles disassociated on grids and were conformationally heterogeneous (fig. S3E). However, micrographs of the species purified after DSSO cross-linking revealed monodispersed particles, and 2D classification generated averages that fit the expected size and shape of the ternary complexes (Fig. 2C). The 2D classes (Fig. 2D) resembled the molecular envelopes constructed from SEC-SAXS experiments in size and general shape (Fig. 2E). An insufficient number of suitable particles for analyses currently limits 3D reconstruction of the 2D classes.

Domain proximity and component interfaces in free Tm CheA and in the foldon complexes were analyzed by DSSO cross-linking followed by mass spectrometry (MS). DSSO is a cleavable chemical cross-linker that covalently binds two lysine residues within roughly 20 Å of each other (33). Tandem proteolysis of the cross-linked samples with trypsin and chymotrypsin followed by sequential fragmentation with MS identified the peptides linked by DSSO. MS analysis of DSSO-treated Tm CheA in the absence and presence of CheW and the Tar foldon revealed key structural changes upon kinase inhibition by the Tar foldon. Among the many cross-links identified (figs. S7 and S8), the most notable difference between the two samples was that interdomain cross-links between P1 and P2, P1 and P4, and P2 and P4 were only detected when CheA associated with the deactivating receptor (figs. S7 and S8). The free kinase only produced cross-links within P1 or P2 (fig. S7), indicating that these domains are isolated from the rest of the protein. In contrast, the binding of receptor and CheW primarily to P5 associates P1, P2, and P4 to enhance their encounter frequency (Fig. 3A and fig. S8). The P4 sites that cross-linked to P1 and P2 mostly involved residues near the ATP-lid and ATP-binding pocket. Furthermore, the crosslinking sites positioned the His⁴⁵ substrate residue on P1 away from the P4 ATP-binding site. Instead, the lysine residue nearest His⁴⁵ preferentially cross-linked to the P2 domain rather than the P4 domain (Fig. 3A).

Whereas many P1:P2:P4 cross-links were unique to the ternary complex, the CheA-only sample produced contacts between the P3 and P5 domains and the P4 and P5 domains not found in the foldon complex. Specifically, two lysine residues at the tip of the P3 domain cross-linked to P5 lysine residues that were more than 30 Å away in the crystal structure of CheA P3P4P5 [Protein Data Bank (PDB) code: 4XIV]. In the ternary complex, the same P3 residues instead cross-linked to receptor residues predicted from array structures to be reasonably close (*13, 34*). The only P5 residue directed at P4 (Lys⁶⁴⁸) cross-linked to P4 in both species, but P5 Lys⁶²¹, which is oriented away from the interface with P4, cross-linked to P4 Lys⁴⁷³ only in free CheA. Hence, the P5 domain is more flexible in the free kinase than in the foldon complex (Fig. 3B).



Fig. 2. Isolation and analyses of foldon ternary complexes cross-linked with DSSO. (**A**) SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) of *Tm* CheA (A) and *Tm* CheW (W) before and after addition of disuccinimidyl sulfoxide (DSSO) in the presence and absence of the Tar foldon (TarFo). Data are representative of N > 3 independent experiments. (**B**) Size exclusion chromatography-multiangle light scattering (SEC-MALS) of the cross-linked TarFo-CheA-CheW complex, molecular weight (MW) ~330 ± 15 kDa, which corresponds to a core signaling unit (schematic) containing two receptor foldons, one CheA dimer, and two CheW subunits (320 kDa). Data are representative of N > 3 independent experiments. (**C**) Cryo-electron microscopy (Cryo-EM) of the cross-linked TarFo-CheA-CheW complexes. Enlarged views of single complexes were cropped from the micrograph in different orientations corresponding to the class averages. N = 2 independent experiments. (**D**) Selected 2D class averages and their percentages over the total extracted particles used for alignment and classification (box size = 268 Å, mask diameter = 140 Å). (**E**) The molecular envelope of the isolated cross-linked ternary complex generated by SEC small-angle x-ray scattering (SEC-SAXS) analyses. Data are representative of N = 2 independent experiments.

Interprotein cross-links identified in the ternary complex were supported by crystal structures of complexes containing CheA P4P5, receptor fragments, and CheW (PDB code: 3UR1) (Fig. 4, A to C). CheA P5 and CheW strictly cross-linked at binding interface 1, and residues on the upper portion of CheW cross-linked to the nearest lysine residue on the Tar foldon (Fig. 4, A and B). Only lysine residues on the bottom-directed face of CheW cross-linked to P4 residues as expected, but these sites were predicted to be more than 30 Å away based on array models (Fig. 4C) (13, 16). Thus, although the P4 domains juxtaposed the predicted face of CheW, they retained substantial flexibility in the foldon complexes. Nevertheless, together, the cross-linking data support the conclusion that the foldon complexes have structural characteristics expected of core signaling units.

PDS provides evidence for P1 dimerization and P4 dipping within the foldon complexes

Double electron-electron resonance (DEER) spectroscopy of nitroxide-labeled CheA and nitroxide-labeled adenosine 5'-diphosphate (ADP) was conducted to characterize CheA domain positioning within the Tar foldon complexes. Nitroxide spin labels (also known as "R1") were introduced at residues 12 (P1), 387 (P4), and 588 (P5) by cysteine targeting of (1-oxyl-2,2,5,5-tetramethylpyrroline-3-methyl)methanethiosulfonate spin label (MTSSL) and at the ADP-binding site by the use of a previously reported spin-labeled ADP derivative, ADP-NO (35). Each labeling site per subunit generated two R1 moieties in the CheA dimer, between which distance distributions were determined.

In the free kinase, E12C-R1 CheA produced a wide range of distances including a short (~28 Å) distance not unlike those observed for previous P1 sites when CheA binds to single-chain "dimeric" receptor fragments (Fig. 5A) (11). The short distance became somewhat more prominent upon incorporation of E12C-CheA into the foldon complex with CheW and the larger, more distributed separations became less evident (Fig. 5B). PDS of other P1 sites [E76C-R1, E92C-R1 (11)] also produced distance distributions with maxima in the range of 30 to 50 Å and, together, these close separations indicate that the P1 domains associated with one another.

The P4 site, CheA E387C-R1, placed a spin label at the end of the first P4 β strand, near the L3 linker and projecting toward the CheA dimer interface. As with previous PDS studies of CheA, the broad inter-subunit distance distri-

bution centered at ~47 Å (Fig. 5C) (*11*, *36*). In the foldon complex, the distance sharpened, and a component with a mean separation ~5 Å shorter than that of the free kinase predominated (Fig. 5D). To remove contributions from the 387-R1-to-387-R1 interaction and accentuate P1-to-P4 inter-subunit contacts, heterodimers were produced between one subunit of full-length E12C-R1 CheA and one subunit of CheA containing only P3P4P5 E387C-R1 (*36*). Heated incubation of the (flCheA-E12C-R1)₂ and (P3P4P5-E387C-R1)₂ dimers encourages subunit swapping; subsequent low-temperature trapping followed by SEC purification enriches for flCheA-E12C-R1:P3P4P5-E387C-R1 heterodimers (*36*). Removal of one P1 domain from the dimer increases CheA autophosphorylation and, thus, may encourage more P1-P4 contacts (*24*). In the free kinase (Fig. 5E), the distance distribution primarily showed the ~47-Å separation typical of the P4-P4 signal from the remaining P3P4P5 homodimer



Fig. 3. Component interactions in the foldon complexes revealed by DSSO cross-linking followed by mass spectrometry. (**A**) Reconstitution of CheA with the deactivating receptor and CheW promotes interactions among P1 (PDB code: 2LD6), P2 (1U0S), and P4 (1i5D) domains as revealed by cross-linked (dashed lines) lysine residues (red, numbered). Residues near helix D on P1, which is distal from the His⁴⁵ substrate (blue), cross-link to sites near the P4 adenosine 5'-triphosphate (ATP)–binding site. P1 sites near His⁴⁵ cross-link to residues on the P2 CheY-binding interface. (**B**) In the free kinase, no interdomain cross-links were identified for P1 or P2. However, additional cross-links were found between P3 and P5 and between P4 and P5 in the absence of the deactivating foldon. In the free kinase, the P4 domains underwent increased interdomain cross-linking compared to the complexes.

and a shorter ~25-Å separation from full-length CheA dimers also not fully excluded by the SEC purification. There was also some hint of an intermediate distance at ~36 Å that intensified in the foldon complex (Fig. 5F). This 36-Å signal presumably derived from the interaction between 12-R1 and 387-R1. A 36-Å separation between P1 and P4 agrees well with previous interaction studies (*10, 37*). Previous models of P1 docked in a productive conformation with the P4 active site (*24, 38*) or in a nonproductive inhibitory complex with P4 (*39*) could both produce such a distance.

The short distances observed between symmetric spin labels on P1 in a full-length CheA dimer suggested that the P1 domains themselves may dimerize, especially in the inhibited state (Fig. 5B). Consistent with such an interaction, the crystal structure of the isolated P1 domain (1TQG) from *T. maritima* formed a parallel dimer that is generally compatible with these distance constraints (Fig. 6, A and B).

A spin-labeled ADP derivative (ADP-NO) that binds to CheA (35) was used to track the P4 domain by DEER. Treated with ADP-NO, the free kinase gave only very weak dipolar signals, indicative of long, broad distributions (Fig. 7A). In contrast, the foldon complex produced distances at ~22 and ~35 Å, in addition to some larger (~50 Å) separations (Fig. 7B). The low modulation depth of the time domain data may derive from the fact that ATP binds *Tm* CheA with substantial negative cooperativity (40). Thus, even at millimolar concentrations, the ADP-NO is likely not bound to both subunits for a substantial fraction of the sample. Nonetheless, the short distances indicated that the Tar foldon caused the P4 domains to move together from their positions in free CheA. The bimodal distribution indicated that either the P4 domains or the nitroxide moieties themselves exhibited several related conformations.



TheA, CheW, own with re-P4P5, CheW, (blue) inter-**3**) Cross-links he "top" porreceptor tip. wocket and at

Fig. 4. Cross-links identified in the ternary complex among *Tm* **CheA, CheW, and then Tar foldon.** Lysine residues involved in cross-links are shown with respect to the crystal structure (PDB code: 3UR1), which consists of CheA P4P5, CheW, and the receptor protein interaction region. (**A**) The CheA P5 domain (blue) interacts with CheW (green) at lysine residues adjacent to interface 1. (**B**) Cross-links identified between CheW and the Tar foldon (magenta) indicate that the "top" portion of CheW orients toward the Tar lysine residue that is nearest the receptor tip. (**C**) Cross-links between the CheA P4 residues near the ATP-binding pocket and at the "bottom" portion of CheW indicate that P4 encounters CheW.

To further define the positions of the ATP-binding site, CheA was labeled on the P5 domain at position 588 of subdomain 2. Free CheA E588C-R1 gave nearly no dipolar signals, in keeping with the predicted long (>80 Å) separation between these sites. However, in the foldon complex, a very short-distance component arose from E588C-R1 (fig. S9). Similar short-distance components on the spinlabeled P5 domain are known to derive from CheA dimer association through symmetric subdomain 2 contacts (41). Such contacts mimic those of interface 2 in crystal structures and arrays but, in this case, were likely favored by the high-glycerol and low-temperature conditions of the DEER experiments. Binding the Tar foldon and CheW further increased these interdimer contacts relative to the free kinase (fig. S9) probably because the receptors aligned the P5 domains in planes compatible with symmetric binding at the P5 domain ends. The addition of ADP-NO to free CheA E588C-R1 produced some shorter distances at ~45 Å, but mainly large distances (>60 Å) that represent the P5-to-P4 separation were evident (Fig. 7C). In contrast, the Tar foldon complex with E588C-R1 and ADP-NO (Fig. 7D) produced a midrange distance at ~50 Å and a short distance at ~20 to 25 Å that matched overlapping contributions from



Fig. 5. PDS reveals conformational changes in CheA when reconstituted into foldon complexes. All samples were reconstituted with adenosine 5'-diphosphate (ADP). Schematics (right) denote label positions (red dots represent the R1 nitroxide) and where pulse-dipolar electron-spin resonance spectroscopy (PDS) measurements reflect heightened domain mobility (black lines). Baseline-corrected time domain data (left) before (red) and after (black) wavelet denoising and resulting distance distributions (right, black) with error bounds (red) for (**A**) P1-E12C-R1 in free CheA; (**B**) P1 E12C-R1 + CheW + Tar foldon (TarFo); (**C**) P4-E387C-R1 in free CheA; (**D**) P4-E387C-R1 + CheW + TarFo; (**E**) flCheA:ΔP1P2-CheA heterodimer; and (**F**) flCheA:ΔP1P2-CheA heterodimer + CheW + TarFo. The arrow indicates new separation arising from presumed P4-to-P1 interaction. Heterodimer samples in (E) and (F) also contained contributions from the homodimeric species shown in brackets.

the interdimer contact (fig. S9) and the ADP-NO contributions seen in the absence of E588C-R1 (Fig. 7B). The 50-Å distance, which intensified relative to the long-distance component seen with the free kinase (Fig. 7C), likely reflects an ordering and contraction between P5 subdomain 2 and the ATP-binding pocket of P4 (Fig. 7D). Longer distances (>60 Å) may represent greater separations of these units, as observed in the free kinase. In summary, the Tar foldon complexes enforce a kinase conformation that is largely consistent with array models. The PDS data also provided direct evidence for the close interaction between the P4 domains previously predicted by MD simulations (14, 16).

Modeling of the foldon complexes provides insight into kinase inhibition

The foldon core complexes were computationally modeled in a stepwise manner that took into account their determined component stoichiometries, data from SAXS, cross-linking constraints, PDS restraints, and molecular structures determined from crystals and arrays in native and reconstituted forms (Fig. 8, A and B). Interactions among the receptor dimers and between CheA and CheW have been well defined by crystallographic structures, PDS, disulfide cross-linking studies, and modeling to cryo-ET images (1, 3, 14). However, the positioning of P1, P2, and P4 and the conformations of the interdomain linkers are not well defined, especially for specific activity states. To place the P4 domains relative to P3 in the model of the inhibited foldon complex, two crystal structures that contain the CheA P3-P4 unit were considered: the dimer of P3P4 (24) and the dimer of P3P4P5 (42). Cryo-ET of arrays indicates that the P4 domains assume a conformation relative to the P3 domains that is similar to that observed in the crystal structure of CheA P3P4 (13, 15, 16). Thus, P4 was suspended below the P5-CheW layer based on the P3P4 structure (PDB code: 4XIV). Anchored by the P3-P4 domain positions, the L3 and L4 linkers were removed and rebuilt using the Rosetta KIC (kinematic closure) loop modeling algorithm. The L3 and L4 linker connections were constructed according to allowable stereochemical, spatial, and sequence constraints. The CheA model with the lowest energy loop conformations in Rosetta (see Materials and Methods) was then associated with CheW and receptor trimers based on known crystallographic interfaces and was then minimized against stereochemical and

Downloaded from http://stke.sciencemag.org/ on November 11, 2020

packing functions of the Rosetta Relax program with additional distance restraints from the PDS data. The output models were then ranked based on their Rosetta all-atom energy scores.

In the next step, the P1 and P2 domains were associated with the core complex. The P1 domains were dimerized based on the crystallographic structure and PDS restraints and situated below the P4 domains to align the molecular symmetry axes. This configuration is generally consistent with cryo-ET density tentatively assigned to the P1 and P2 domains (20), as well as the spatial extent of the SAXS envelopes generated from the cross-linked complex (Fig. 8A). The P1 dimer was positioned to interact with P4 based on interdomain cross-links and a previously characterized inhibitory contact (39).

25 Å	23.3 Å*
30 Å	31.4 Å*
62 Å	45.7 Å*
	30 A 62 Å 4 +7 Å for R1 I



Fig. 6. PDS identifies a P1 homodimer in the foldon complex. (**A**) Summary of distance restraints from double electron-electron resonance (DEER) experiments on CheA spin-labeled on P1 [this study and (*11*)]. Distances were measured from C α positions +7 Å for R1 labels. (**B**) Structure of the parallel P1 crystallographic dimer (1TQG). Distances are shown between C α positions. E76 is located on a flexible loop furthest from the dimer interface. The substrate His⁴⁵ (blue) resides at the edge of the dimer interface.

The P2 domains were then situated beside the P1 and P4 domains to fill unmatched density in the SAXS envelopes and align the molecular faces that undergo interdomain cross-linking. Domain orientations were chosen to minimize distances given by DSSO cross-linking $(20 \pm 7 \text{ Å})$. The ADP-NO inter-subunit and P5-to-ADP-NO PDS distances provided strong evidence for dipped P4, and the Rosetta modeling confirmed that such conformations can be obtained with reasonable L3 and L4 geometries. Although placement of the P1 domains and especially the P2 domains is not precisely constrained by the cross-linking data, these domains clearly colocalized with P4 in the inhibited complex, and Lys residues on specific faces showed preferred cross-linking patterns (Fig. 8B). The L1 and L2 linker conformations were first built automatically as $\mbox{C}\alpha$ traces, elaborated as full polypeptide chains, and then evaluated for consistency with the SAXS scattering curves. Configurations that placed the large L1 linker below the P1 domains and the L2 linkers to the side of P4 produced the best agreement; conformations related to these were not substantially distinguished by the SAXS data. The allowable linker conformations did not distinguish between an intra- and inter-subunit orientation for the P1 dimer on the symmetry axis of the foldon complex, although the PDS data on the heterodimer (Fig. 5F) agreed best with an inter-subunit contact. In support of a trans interaction, P1 only made inter-subunit crosslinks in an engineered disulfide study of the free E. coli kinase (10). The entire structure, including CheW and the foldon receptors, was then relaxed in Rosetta under constraints of the ESR data and the cross-linking distances. One hundred additional models were generated, and each was evaluated for agreement against the SAXS data (fig. S10A).

In the final modeling step, the complex was further optimized against the SAXS data by configurational sampling about the P4-P5



Fig. 7. Spin-labeled ADP reports on the CheA ATP-binding pocket. Schematics (right) denote label positions in free CheA or the foldon complexes (red dots represent R1 nitroxide, blue dots represent ADP-NO). Baseline-corrected time domain data (left) before (red) and after (black) wavelet denoising and resulting distance distributions (right, black) with error bounds (red) for (**A**) ADP-NO with free CheA; (**B**) ADP-NO, Tm CheA, CheW, and Tar foldon (TarFo); (**C**) ADP-NO with free CheA E588C; and (**D**) CheA E588C-R1 with ADP-NO, CheW, and TarFo.

linkage (P5-CheW and receptor foldons were treated as rigid bodies) to allow for flexibility in the absence of the constraints provided by native membrane insertion (fig. S10B). Neither multistate modeling nor treating the L1 and L2 loops as highly disordered segments improved fits to the SAXS data. The current working model (Fig. 8, A to D) agrees reasonably well with the SAXS scattering data ($\chi^2 = 4.5$; fig. S10C), matches the size and shape of the SAXS-derived molecular envelope, and is consistent with current distance restraint data (fig. S11). Overall, the model encapsulates well-established structural features of the particle that include interfaces among P5, CheW, and the PIRs defined by previous crystal structures; movement of the P4 domains toward one another relative to the free kinase; association of the P1, P2, and P4 domains; dimerization of P1 between the P4 cluster.

DISCUSSION

The MCP foldons interacted with CheA and CheW to form complexes that could be isolated in milligram quantities as homogeneous ternary complexes. Stability and uniformity of the complexes were improved through chemical cross-linking. The resulting cross-linked particles mimicked a core signaling unit (two TODs, two CheA subunits, and two CheW subunits), which is the minimum



Fig. 8. Model of the ternary complex derived from crystal structures, PDS, SEC-SAXS, and cross-linking. (A) The working model fits the size and shape of the molecular envelope generated by SEC-SAXS of the cross-linked ternary complex (purple, P1; orange, P2; gray, P3 and P4; blue, P5; green, CheW; yellow, L1 and L2). (B) The lysine residues identified in DSSO cross-links among the P1, P2, and P4 domains (red) localize to internal interfaces in the ternary complex model. (C) The L3 linker between the CheA P3 and P4 domains in both subunits forms a nearly continuous helix with a break at Pro³⁵⁷ (side chains highlighted) in the highest scoring Rosetta model. (D) Salt bridge between L3 residue Arg²⁹⁷ and P4 residue Glu³⁹⁷ (shown in chain A) has been implicated in receptor-coupled CheA activity and is maintained in the model.

component of the chemosensory array that maintains modulation of CheA kinase activity and some cooperative responses (27, 28). Thus, these particles are suitable for examining the domain arrangements of CheA in a receptor-regulated state. In particular, complexes of Tm CheA with foldons derived from the Ec Tar receptor produced an especially stable kinase-off configuration. Expressed in cells or reconstituted in vitro with CheA and CheW, MCP cytoplasmic domains are well known to affect CheA activity (43-49). Some in vitro complexes highly activate CheA (43, 44) and produce relatively homogeneous particles that are larger than a core unit (50). Complexes of CheA and CheW with MCP cytoplasmic domains characterized by pulsed ESR spectroscopy (11), hydrogen-deuterium exchange mass-spectrometry (51), and solid-state nuclear magnetic resonance (52) reveal aspects of component interactions but have not provided holistic views of the core signaling unit. His-tag mediated dimerization (53) and templating of cytoplasmic domains on lipids (16, 48) have been useful strategies for analysis by cryo-ET (16). The foldon approach leverages trimerization of single-chain cytoplasmic domain fused subunits to produce particles of welldefined size that contain the components of a single core module.

Cross-linking MS experiments on the inhibited CheA foldon complex indicate that the CheA P1, P2, and P4 domains colocalize in the deactivated kinase. This result is consistent with cryo-ET studies of receptor variants that deactivate CheA and show enhanced "keel" density below the P4 domains that depend on the presence of P1 (15, 20). Interdomain cross-links between P5 and CheW, as well as between CheW and the receptor, matched expectations from crystal structures and array models, thereby indicating that the foldon complexes recapitulate functionally relevant contacts among the components. Although cross-linking revealed interactions among P1, P2, and P4 in the deactivated state, no such interactions were found without receptor foldons and CheW. The association of Tm CheA with TODs colocalized these domains indirectly because the receptors primarily interact with CheW and P5 and do not likely contact P1, P2, or P4. The interdomain contacts between P1 and P4 orient P1 helix D toward the ATP-binding site of P4. Anchoring P1 here may contribute to kinase inhibition by shielding His⁴⁵ from P4. Previous NMR-shift assays of P1 binding to P3P4 identified interaction sites between helix D of P1 and a cluster of residues close to the L3 linker on the C termini of both $\alpha 1$ and $\beta 1$ of P4 (39). The cross-linking pattern of the inhibited foldon complex was consistent with this interaction mode, and the resulting model places the P4 β 1 site directly in the interface with P1 helix D and the α 1 site in contact with the L3 linker that changes conformation upon P4 dipping. The PDS measurements unexpectedly reveal P1 dimerization as found in the Tm P1 crystal structure (Fig. 6). The P1 dimer occludes access of the P4 ATP pocket to His⁴⁵ but leaves helix D available for interaction with P4. Whereas interactions between P1 and P4 in the inhibitory complex were reasonably anticipated, interactions among P1, P2, and P4 were not. For phosphotransfer to CheY, P2 need not interact with P1 or P4, yet P2 preferentially cross-links to these domains and not to P5, CheW, or the receptor foldons. P2 sites on the CheY interaction surface (54) cross-linked to a Lys residue near the P1 substrate His⁴⁵. Thus, P1-to-P2 contacts could potentially interfere with both His45 phosphorylation and CheY binding. P1-P1 interactions are also supported by the observations that the P1 domains cross-link to each other (24) and increase the subunit affinity of the CheA dimer (55). P1 dimerization that reduces His⁴⁵ accessibility may also explain why both Ec and Tm CheA activity increases upon removal of one P1 domain (24, 25).

Compared to their mobility in the free kinase, the P4 domains order and move toward each other in the foldon complexes. MD simulations of the core signaling particle composed of CheA P3P4P5 captured transitions between a CheA conformation in which the P4 domain interacts with the P5-CheW layer (undipped) and a conformation wherein P4 moves down toward the adjacent P4 domain (dipped) (14, 16). Positioning of the P4 domains based on the ADP-NO interaction, the E387C-R1 contact, and Rosetta loop modeling of the L3 and L4 linkers provides strong support for the dipped conformation of P4 in the inhibitory foldon complex. These configurations are consistent with high-resolution cryo-ET density of the P4 region in reconstituted arrays on lipid supports that contain CheA P3P4P5; however, disorder in the cryo-ET density limits precise positioning of P4 (14). The MD simulations further suggest that key salt bridges between the P3 domain (Ec Arg265) and P4 residues (Ec E368,D372) stabilize the dipped conformation (14, 16). Residue substitutions at these positions affect CheA activity and chemotaxis (56). In particular, charge reversals at several key sites substantially reduce receptor-coupled CheA activity and regulation by attractant (56). Thus, it has been suggested that the active state of CheA involves a dipped conformation (56). The MD simulations also indicate that the formation of a nearly continuous helix between P3 α 2 and P4 α 1 stabilizes the dipped conformation (Fig. 8C). The Rosetta data-restrained models also recapitulated the salt bridge between *Ec* Arg265 (*Tm* R297) and *Ec* E368 (*Tm* E397; Fig. 8D). However, continuous helicity breaks at *Ec* Val344-Pro345 (*Tm* V356-P357) and the P3 and P4 helices were not fully aligned (Fig. 8C).

Despite harboring a "dipped" P4 conformation, CheA autophosphorylation activity is low in the foldon complexes, at least in part because both P1 and P4 are sequestered. Could then a dipped conformation be associated with both an inhibited and activated state of CheA? Several related conformations that all suspend P4 away from the P5-CheW layer could be collectively important for both the inhibited and activated states. Both P4-P4 cross-linking, which decreased in the foldon complexes compared to free CheA, and the PDS distances rule against direct P4-P4 contacts in this particular inhibited state. However, negative allostery in nucleotide binding and the low activity of P4 monomers for P1 phosphorylation (24, 39) suggest that the P4 domains do influence each other, either directly or indirectly. When the P1 domains release to become substrates, the P4 domains may approach one another more closely. As has been suggested (56), transitions of P4 among conformational states may be key for the catalytic cycle. Under this assumption, restricting such motion, through interaction with P1, or possibly with the P5-CheW layer, would provide the means to curtail autophosphorylation. Whether the key regulatory conformations also involve a fully undipped conformation is unclear. Undipped P4 may allow for interaction of the ATP-binding regions with the P5-CheW layer, but it may also be favored by the absence of the P1 and P2 domains in the reconstituted arrays and simulations.

Thus, in the Tm inhibited foldon complex, the P4 domains are likely juxtaposed, and the P1, P2, and P4 domains are closely associated in a region distal from the P3, P5, CheW, and receptor components. Receptor binding to remote sites on P5 and CheW promoted these interactions. Consistent with structural signals transferring from the P5-CheW layer to the linkers and domains beneath, engineered disulfide cross-linking between P5 and CheW increases array stability by protecting the L1 and L2 linkers from proteolysis (57). It is important to note that core complexes composed with CheA P3P4P5 display normal receptor-mediated inhibition of CheA activity, even with free P1 as the substrate (22, 23). Thus, neither covalent attachment of P1 nor the presence of P2 is required for receptor-regulated kinase inhibition. Furthermore, saturating levels of free P1 do not curtail kinase activity (22, 23). Nonetheless, a P1 inhibitory site could be operative in the kinase-off state, if kinase activation then renders this site unavailable.

Changes in free P1 phosphorylation manifest from changes in the catalytic rate constant (k_{cat}) not the Michaelis constant (K_M) (22, 23). Thus, kinase inhibition may derive from a depopulation of CheA states capable of productive interactions with P1. A bound P1 dimer may contribute to stabilization of an inhibited state, provided that such interactions do not depend on covalent attachment to the core complex and that they are relieved in the kinase-on state. Because an ultrastable fraction of purified arrays does not undergo L1 or L2 proteolysis for >20 days yet remains active (58), it has been suggested that the linkers are ordered and protected in both the inhibited and activated states (3). The linkers may only become dynamic in the transition between these states, which may be distin-

guished by where P1 binds: either at the P4 active site or the P4 inhibitory site (3). Our model is consistent with such a view but elaborates the P1 inhibitory interaction in the form of a symmetric dimer on the molecular symmetry axis and furthermore indicates that P2 interactions with the core kinase are also coupled to linker ordering. The constrained architecture of the foldon receptor complexes prevents access of the P4 ATP-binding pocket to the P1 substrate His. Reduced domain dynamics in the foldon complexes, communicated from the receptors to P4 through its linkers to P3, or through interactions with the P5-CheW layer, may favor P1 binding in this nonproductive configuration. Increased order in the arrays, especially in those CheA regions suspended below the P5-CheW layer, appears to be a hallmark of the inactive state (15). Initial studies of CheA activity in membranes described a receptor-inhibited state that was designated as "sequestered" because it could not autophosphorylate P1 or exchange phosphate with ADP once phosphorylated (59). The data presented here indicate that an inhibited core signaling unit sequesters both the P1 and P4 domains through their nonproductive interaction and that alleviation of these restraints would be required for kinase activation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cloning and protein purification

Proteins were cloned into the pet28a vector and transformed into E. coli (DE3) competent cells. After plating the transformation on agar containing kanamycin, a single colony was chosen for cell culture growth. Eight liters of cells was grown at 37°C until an optical density at 600 nm reached 0.6. IPTG (1 mM) was added to each flask, and the cells were grown for 16 hours at room temperature. After pelleting via centrifugation, the cells were resuspended in 50 ml of lysis buffer [50 mM Tris (pH 7.5), 150 mM NaCl, and 5 mM imidazole] and sonicated for 6 min. The lysed cells were then centrifuged to remove the insoluble fraction from the lysate. The lysate was then run over Nickel-NTA affinity resin, washed with 20 mM imidazole buffer to remove nonspecific binding proteins, and eluted using buffer with 200 mM imidazole. The protein was further purified by SEC (prep-grade Sephadex s200 or s75) in 50 mM Tris (pH 7.5) and 150 mM NaCl. The fractions containing the protein were pooled and concentrated via high-speed centrifugation. Receptor foldons were kept at 4°C.

Protein spin labeling

Two milligrams of MTSSL was added in 5 ml of wash buffer [50 mM tris (pH 7.5), 150 mM NaCl, and 20 mM imidazole] to the protein bound on nickel resin and the column was rocked overnight at 4°C. Ten milliliters of wash buffer was flowed through the column to remove unattached spin label, and the protein was eluted with 10 ml of wash buffer containing 200 mM imidazole. The sample was then purified by SEC on an S200 column as above. With a spin filter concentrator, the sample was exchanged into 50 mM Tris (pH 7.5), 150 mM NaCl, and 20% deuterated glycerol, made from D₂O and concentrated to 100 μ M. Thirty microliters was placed in ESR tubes and flash-frozen in liquid N₂.

Phosphorylation assays

Twenty-five-microliter samples containing 2 μ M CheA (subunit) were incubated in the presence or absence of 2 μ M CheW and 6 μ M receptor foldon (subunit) in 50 mM Mops (pH 7.5), 150 mM KCl,

and 10 mM MgCl₂. Phosphorylation of CheA was initiated by the addition of 1 mM ATP mixed with radiolabeled γ -³²P-ATP at a final radioactivity of 0.15 ± 0.05 mCi and quenched at 1 to 12 min for *Tm* CheA and 15 s for *Ec* CheA with 25 µl of 3× LDS buffer (ThermoFisher Scientific) containing 100 mM EDTA. The samples were run on a native tris-glycine gel for 2 hours at 120 V. The gels were dried, placed in a radiocassette for 24 hours, and then imaged with a Typhoon Phosphorimager. Band intensities were quantified using ImageJ software. A monoexponential growth function was globally fit to all replicate data for each condition using nonlinear least squares fitting in MATLAB.

Multiangle light scattering

Reverse-phase chromatography coupled to MALS analysis was used to determine the MW of isolated ternary complexes. For each sample, protein (2 to 5 mg/ml) was injected onto a Phenomenex reverse-phase column pre-equilibrated with 50 mM tris (pH 7.5) and 150 mM NaCl at room temperature. Bovine serum albumin (Sigma-Aldrich) was used as a protein standard. Wyatt technologies ASTRA 6 program was used for data analysis and MW calculations.

Preparation of isolated ternary complexes

Ternary complexes consisting of receptor foldon, CheA, and CheW were produced in milligram quantities by mixing the composite purified proteins in a 1:1:1 stoichiometric subunit ratio in 50 mM Tris or Hepes (pH 7.5), 250 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, and 10% glycerol and allowing the mixture to incubate at 4°C for at least 1 hour. After incubation, the sample is injected onto two prep-grade Sephadex columns (s200 and s300) attached to run in tandem, and the elutant was collected in 6-ml fractions. Protein components in each fraction were evaluated by SDS–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE). Fractions of interest were concentrated to ~8 mg/ml via high-speed centrifugation, flash-frozen, and stored at –80°C.

Size exclusion chromatography small-angle x-ray scattering

SAXS data were collected at the CHESS G1 line on the Finger Lakes charge-coupled device detector. For each sample, protein (~2 to 3 mg/ml) was injected onto a Superdex 5/150 size exclusion increase column pre-equilibrated with sample buffer [50 mM tris (pH 7.5), 250 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl₂, and 4% glycerol] coupled to the G1 SAXS sample cell. The eluted sample was collected with 2-s exposure times to the x-ray beam at a flow rate of 0.15 ml/min. AMBI-METER (60) and GNOM (61) were used to initially assess the quality of the scattering data and particle homogeneity. RAW (62) and PRIMUS (63) were used for processing of the SEC-SAXS data and to generate Kratky plots. Further analysis including dimensionless Kratky was carried out with ScÅtter (64). Background scattering was determined from SEC fractions containing primarily buffer. MW estimates were made from the Porod volume (V_P), volume of correlation $(V_{\rm C})$ (64), and envelope reconstructions. Envelope reconstructions were calculated with DAMMIF (65) and DAMAVER (66). Ten models were independently generated and then averaged into a consensus envelope without assuming particle symmetry. For the cross-linked ternary complex, SVD with evolving factor analysis (32) was applied to separate the minor contribution of a higher-MW species from the leading edge of the SEC curve. Model agreement to SAXS scattering curves was evaluated with Fast SAXS profile computation with the Debye Formula (FoXS) (67).

Cryo-EM and image analysis

For cryo-specimens, $3-\mu l$ aliquots of cross-linked ternary complexes (0.1 mg/ml) were applied to freshly glow-discharged R2/2 Quantifoil 200 mesh copper grids (Quantifoil Micro Tools). Grids were blotted in a climate chamber set to 20° C and at 95% humidity before plunge-frozen in liquid ethane set at -183° C using a Leica EM GP system (Leica Microsystems). Images containing complexes were collected manually with a 120-kV Talos transmission electron microscope (Thermo Fisher Scientific) at a magnification corresponding to a pixel size of 1.4 Å in a defocus range between 2 and $4 \,\mu$ m. Image analysis was done with ReliOn-3.0.2 using ~34,000 particles from 65 images. 2D classification results were generated with a selection of ~22,000 particles.

Protein cross-linking

After incubation of the receptor foldons with CheA and CheW in a 1:1:1 stoichiometric ratio to a final concentration of 10 μ M of each protein in 50 mM Hepes (pH 7.5), 250 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 100 μ M ADP, and 10% glycerol for at least 30 min at 4°C, the chemical cross-linker DSSO was added to the protein mixture to a final concentration of 1 mM and cross-linking was allowed to proceed for 30 min to 1 hour at room temperature. The cross-linking reaction was quenched by the addition of tris (pH 8.0) to a final concentration of 20 mM. The reaction mixture is run through SEC on two prep-grade Sephadex columns (s200 and s300) attached to run in tandem with a 6-ml fraction volume. Fractions of interest were selected for further analysis by SDS-PAGE and MALS.

MS of cross-linked protein complexes

For cross-linking of free CheA with DSSO (33, 68), ~50 µg of the protein was cross-linked in solution [50 mM Hepes (pH 7.5), 250 mM KCl, 10% glycerol, 100 µM ADP, and 5 mM MgCl₂] and then dried. The sample was then denatured and reduced with the addition of 20 µl of 6 M guanidinium-HCl, 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate (Ambic), and 2 µl of 0.110 M dithiothreitol (DTT) (final concentration = 10 mM DTT) and incubated at 60°C for 1 hour. The sample was then alkylated with the addition of 2.5 µl of 0.55 M iodoacetamide (IDA) followed by 45 min of incubation at room temperature in the dark. The alkylation reaction was quenched with 5.5 µl of 0.2 M DTT. The sample was then diluted by the addition of 100 µl of 50 mM Ambic. Trypsin (12.5 µl) at 0.2 µg/µl (2.5 µg) was added and incubated overnight at 37°C. The sample was heated to 90°C for 5 min to deactivate the trypsin. A second digestion with chymotrypsin was then carried out by the addition of 5 μ l of chymotrypsin (1 μ g/ μ l) followed by an overnight incubation at 37°C. The digestion was stopped with the addition of 150 µl of 0.5% trifluoroacetic acid. The pH after addition was <2.5. The samples were then applied to a SepPak C18 1 cm $^{3}/50$ mg (Waters) cartridge for cleanup.

For the ternary complex, a protein sample containing a 1:1:1 ratio of the composite proteins was incubated and cross-linked in solution [50 mM Hepes (pH 7.5), 250 mM KCl, 10% glycerol, 100 μ M ADP, and 5 mM MgCl₂] and then run on an SDS-PAGE gel. A gel band containing ~50 μ g of the ternary complex was excised from the gel for MS/MS analysis. First, the gel band was washed with 400 μ l of ddH₂O, then 400 μ l of 50% acetonitrile (ACN) and 50 mM Ambic, and then 400 μ l of 100% ACN. The gel was exposed to air in a chemical hood until dryness. The sample was then reduced with the addition of 200 μ l of 10 mM DTT in 100 mM Ambic at 60°C for 1 hour. The sample was alkylated with the addition of 200 μ l of

55 mM IDA in 100 mM Ambic and incubated for 45 min at room temperature in the dark. The washing steps were then repeated. Trypsin (120 μ l) at 10 ng/ μ l (1.2 μ g) in 50 mM Ambic and 10% ACN was added and then incubated on ice for 20 min. The sample was then overlaid with another 150 µl of 50 mM Ambic with 10% ACN and incubated overnight at 37°C. After 18 hours of digestion, the reaction was stopped with the addition of 5 µl of 100% formic acid (FA). The sample was then extracted from the gel by first vortexing in a mixture of 200 μl of 50% ACN and 5% FA for 30 min at 1800 rpm followed by sonication for 10 min. This step was repeated once more and the sample was dried in a speed vacuum. The sample was then reconstituted with 45 µl of 50 mM Ambic and heated to 90°C for 5 min. The second digestion with chymotrypsin was then conducted by adding 5 μ l of chymotrypsin at 1 μ g/ μ l (3 μ g) and incubated overnight at 37°C. The digestion was stopped with the addition of 2 µl of 100% FA. The resulting pH was <2.5. The sample was dried to dryness in a speed vacuum and then resuspended into 0.5% FA.

Peptides and cross-linked peptides were analyzed using an UltiMate3000 RSLCnano (Dionex, Sunnyvale, CA) coupled to an Orbitrap Fusion (Thermo Fisher Scientific, San Jose, CA) mass spectrometer equipped with Nanospray Flex Ion Source. Each sample was loaded onto an Acclaim PepMap 100 C₁₈ trap column (5 µm, 100 μ m × 20 mm, 100 Å, Thermo Fisher Scientific) at 20 μ l/min of 0.5% FA. After 3 min, the valve switched to allow peptides to be separated on an Acclaim PepMap C18 nanocolumn (3 μ m, 75 μ m \times 25 cm, Thermo Fisher Scientific), in a 120-min gradient of 5 to 40% B at 300 nl/min. The Orbitrap Fusion was operated in positive ion mode with nanospray voltage set at 1.7 kV and source temperature at 275°C. External calibrations for Fourier transform (FT), ion-trap, and quadrupole mass analyzers were performed before the analysis. Samples were analyzed using the collision induced dissociation (CID)-MS2-MS3 workflow, in which peptides with charge states 4 to 10 were selected for CID-MS2 acquisitions in an Orbitrap analyzer with a resolution of 30,000 and an automatic gain control (AGC) target of 5×10^4 . MS scan range was set to 375 to 1575 m/z (mass/ charge ratio), and the resolution was set to 60,000. The precursor isolation width was 1.6 m/z, and the maximum injection time was 100 ms. The CID-MS2 normalized collision energy was set to 25%. Targeted mass difference-dependent CID-MS3 spectra were triggered for acquisition in the ion trap with a CID collision energy of 35% and an AGC target of 2×10^4 when a unique mass difference ($\Delta = 31.9721$ Da) was observed in the CID-MS2 spectrum. The MS2 isolation window was 3 m/z with the maximum injection time set to 100 ms. All data were acquired under Xcalibur 3.0 operation software and Orbitrap Fusion Tune Application v. 2.1 (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

All MS, MS2, and MS3 raw spectra from each sample were searched using Proteome Discoverer 2.2 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, San Jose, CA) with XlinkX v2.0 algorithm for identification of cross-linked peptides. The search parameters were as follows: three missed cleavage for full trypsin digestion or double digestion with fixed carbamidomethyl modification of cysteine and variable modifications of methionine oxidation. The peptide mass tolerance was 10 parts per million (ppm), and MS2 and MS3 fragment mass tolerance was 20 ppm and 0.6 Da, respectively. The *E. coli* database with added targeted protein sequences was used for PD 2.2 database search with 1% false detection rate for report of cross-link results. In addition, the search was also performed using the full sequences of the proteins including the recombinant tags. Identified cross-linked peptides were filtered for Max. XlinkX Score > 20 containing at

least two identified MS3 spectra for each pair of cross-linked peptides. Results of the search were exported by the software as a spreadsheet.

Pulse-dipolar ESR spectroscopy

PDS measurements were conducted by four pulse DEER at 60 K based on a 17.3-GHz FT ESR spectrometer (69) modified for PDS ESR (70) and at 34 GHz in Q band on an Elexsys E580 spectrometer equipped with a 10-W solid state amplifier [150-W equivalent traveling wave tube amplifier (TWTA)] and arbitrary waveform generator to generate MW pulses at the detection and pumping frequency offsets to suppress spurious echoes. Q band DEER measurements were performed at 60 K (ER 4118 HV-CF10-L FlexLine Cryogen-Free VT System) in an EN 5107D2 cavity using four pulses $(\pi/2-\tau_1-\pi-\tau_1-\pi_{pump}-\tau_2-\pi-\tau_2-echo)$ with 16-step phase cycling. Data were collected on 100 µM protein over 16 hours for each sample. The signal background was approximated by a polynomial function in the semi-log scale and subtracted out (71). Noise from the time domain data was then removed by the WavPDS method (72) (a wavelet denoising procedure for PDS), and distance distributions of spin separations were calculated by the new SVD method (73, 74) developed to solve ill-posed problems such as for the PDS signal and estimate uncertainty in their measurement.

Model building of the ternary complex

For the dipped CheA models, 10 and 7 residues were modeled for reconnecting the P3-P4 (L3) loop and the P4-P5 (L4) loop, respectively. The chain breaks were located one residue further away from the P4 domain in both loops to allow more dynamic motion of the domain. In a productive run, 250 KIC build attempts were made with 500 models generated. The best model was selected based on the Rosetta energy unit (REU) score and finalized after structural comparison with the other top models. The new P3-P4 and P4-P5 loop conformations of the dipped CheA models were reintroduced in the original models before Rosetta Relax.

The Rosetta Relax productive run of the dipped CheA complex (including CheW and foldons) generated 100 models with five cycles of minimization including SDSL-PDS distance restraints (table S2) and side-chain repacking. A suitable function based on the "motion-on-a-cone" model (75) was implemented in RosettaEPR for the spin-label distance. The function describes the relationship between the experimental measured spin-label distance (dSL) and C β -C β distance (dC β) in which an acceptable range of dC β is determined from dSL (dC $\beta \in [dSL - 12.5 \text{ Å}, dSL + 2.5 \text{ Å}]$). Top scoring models based on the REU scores were selected and analyzed. The best model of the dipped CheA complex has an REU score of -4917.88, with the average score of 100 models at -4512.80. The top 10 scoring models of dipped CheA were selected for analysis. In comparison to the P3, P4, and P5 domains of the best model, the rest of the models have a root mean square deviation of 1.90 to 2.75 Å (chain A) and 2.09 to 4.63 Å (chain B) for 373 Cα atoms.

The crystallographic P1 dimer was placed in the Rosetta model with Chimera to be consistent with the SAXS envelope and crosslinking data. The P2 domains were added symmetrically under similar considerations. The L1 and L2 loops were built with an Ensemble Optimization Method (EOM) (76) as C α traces. Families of configurations were evaluated against the SAXS data with FoXS (67) after replacing the traces with the correctly sequenced polypeptide. Six general families of loop positions that extended into different regions of the SAXS envelopes were considered in this manner. Only those configurations that extended the L1 loops below the P1 domains sufficiently extended the radius of gyration to produce reasonable agreement with the SAXS data. With the L1 and L2 loops explicitly built and the receptor foldons incorporated, Rosetta Relax was used to produce 100 new models under RosettaEPR constraints of the PDS distances and bounded-function constraints of the cross-linking data. Protein cross-links were assumed to occur over a range of 20 \pm 7 Å. Each of the 100 final models was evaluated against the SAXS data with FoXS. The model with the lowest Rosetta energy and the model with the closest agreement to the SAXS data have an REU score of -5863.93 and -5679.7, respectively. Their average score out of 100 models is at -5333.27. Conformations with high (>0) Rosetta energy scores were excluded. The best model that maintained the expected domain interfaces was then subjected to configurational sampling about the L4 linker, while keeping P5, CheW, and receptor foldons as rigid bodies. This sampling implemented in MultiFoxS (67) maximized agreement with the SAXS data, and the subsequent model was fit against the SAXS-derived molecular envelope in Chimera. Restraint distances in the final model are given in fig. S11.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

stke.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/13/657/eabc1328/DC1

- Fig. S1. Preliminary purification and characterization of receptor foldons and their complexes.
- Fig. S2. Buffer conditions that encourage interactions among TarFo, CheA, and CheW.
- Fig. S3. Properties of the Tm14Fo complexes with Tm CheA and Tm CheW.
- Fig. S4. Autoradiographs of the CheA autophosphorylation experiments from Fig. 1.
- Fig. S5. Properties of single-residue foldon variants.
- Fig. S6. SEC-SAXS data of the cross-linked foldon complex.
- Fig. S7. Interdomain cross-links in free CheA.
- Fig. S8. Interdomain cross-links in the receptor foldon complex.
- Fig. S9. PDS of *Tm* CheA E588C-R1 with CheW and TarFo.
- Fig. S10. Modeling of the foldon complex. Fig. S11. Summary of model restraints in Rosetta refinements.
- Table S1. Real-space SAXS parameters generated from SEC-SAXS for foldon complexes.
- Table S2. List of PDS distance restraints for Rosetta modeling.

View/request a protocol for this paper from Bio-protocol.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- A. R. Muok, A. Briegel, B. R. Crane, Regulation of the chemotaxis histidine kinase CheA: A structural perspective. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Biomembr.* 1862, 183030 (2020).
- J. S. Parkinson, G. L. Hazelbauer, J. J. Falke, Signaling and sensory adaptation in *Escherichia coli* chemoreceptors: 2015 update. *Trends Microbiol.* 23, 257–266 (2015).
- J. J. Falke, K. N. Piasta, Architecture and signal transduction mechanism of the bacterial chemosensory array: Progress, controversies, and challenges. *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* 29, 85–94 (2014).
- G. L. Hazelbauer, W.-C. Lai, Bacterial chemoreceptors: Providing enhanced features to two-component signaling. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* 13, 124–132 (2010).
- B. A. Mello, Y. H. Tu, Quantitative modeling of sensitivity in bacterial chemotaxis: The role of coupling among different chemoreceptor species. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 100, 8223–8228 (2003).
- 6. V. Sourjik, H. C. Berg, Receptor sensitivity in bacterial chemotaxis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* U.S.A. **99**, 123–127 (2002).
- G. E. Piñas, V. Frank, A. Vaknin, J. S. Parkinson, The source of high signal cooperativity in bacterial chemosensory arrays. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **113**, 3335–3340 (2016).
- H. Zhou, D. F. Lowry, R. V. Swanson, M. I. Simon, F. W. Dahlquist, NMR studies of the phosphotransfer domain of the histidine kinase CheA from *Escherichia coli*: Assignments, secondary structure, general fold, and backbone dynamics. *Biochemistry* 34, 13858–13870 (1995).
- C. M. Quezada, C. Grådinaru, M. I. Simon, A. M. Bilwes, B. R. Crane, Helical shifts generate two distinct conformers in the atomic resolution structure of the CheA phosphotransferase domain from *Thermotoga maritima*. J. Mol. Biol. **341**, 1283–1294 (2004).
- S. L. Gloor, J. J. Falke, Thermal domain motions of CheA kinase in solution: Disulfide trapping reveals the motional constraints leading to trans-autophosphorylation. *Biochemistry* 48, 3631–3644 (2009).

- J. Bhatnagar, P. Borbat, A. M. Pollard, A. M. Bilwes, J. H. Freed, B. R. Crane, Structure of the ternary complex formed by a chemotaxis receptor signaling domain, the CheA histidine kinase and the coupling protein CheW as determined by pulsed dipolar ESR spectroscopy. *Biochemistry* 49, 3824–3841 (2010).
- A. R. Greenswag, X. Li, P. P. Borbat, D. Samanta, K. J. Watts, J. H. Freed, B. R. Crane, Preformed soluble chemoreceptor trimers that mimic cellular assembly states and activate CheA autophosphorylation. *Biochemistry* 54, 3454–3468 (2015).
- A. Briegel, X. Li, A. M. Bilwes, K. T. Hughes, G. Jensen, B. R. Crane, Bacterial chemoreceptor arrays are hexagonally packed trimers of receptor dimers networked by rings of kinase and coupling proteins. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 109, 3766–3771 (2012).
- C. K. Cassidy, B. A. Himes, D. Sun, J. Ma, G. Zhao, J. S. Parkinson, P. J. Stansfeld,
 Z. Luthey-Schulten, P. Zhan, Structure and dynamics of the *E. coli* chemotaxis core signaling complex by cryo-electron tomography and molecular simulations. *Commun. Biol.* 3, 24 (2020).
- W. Yang, K. Cassidy, P. Ames, C. A. Diebolder, K. Schulten, Z. Luthey-Schulten, J. S. Parkinson, A. Briegel, In situ conformational changes of the *Escherichia coli* serine chemoreceptor in different signaling states. *MBio* **10**, e00973-19 (2019).
- C. K. Cassidy, B. A. Himes, F. J. Alvarez, J. Ma, G. Zhao, J. R. Perilla, K. Schulten, P. Zhang, CryoEM and computer simulations reveal a novel kinase conformational switch in bacterial chemotaxis signaling. *eLife* 4, e08419 (2015).
- X. Q. Wang, C. Wu, A. Vu, J.-E. Shea, F. W. Dahlquist, Computational and experimental analyses reveal the essential roles of interdomain linkers in the biological function of chemotaxis histidine kinase CheA. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **134**, 16107–16110 (2012).
- X. Wang, P. Vallurupalli, A. Vu, K. Lee, S. Sun, W.-J. Bai, C. Wu, H. Zhou, J.-E. Shea, L. E. Kay, F. W. Dahlquist, The linker between the dimerization and catalytic domains of the CheA histidine kinase propagates changes in structure and dynamics that are important for enzymatic activity. *Biochemistry* 53, 855–861 (2014).
- X. Ding, Q. He, F. Shen, F. W. Dahlquist, X. Wang, Regulatory role of an interdomain linker in the bacterial chemotaxis histidine kinase CheA. J. Bacteriol. 200, e00052-18 (2018).
- A. Briegel, P. Ames, J. C. Gumbart, C. M. Oikonomou, J. S. Parkinson, G. J. Jensen, The mobility of two kinase domains in the *Escherichia coli* chemoreceptor array varies with signalling state. *Mol. Microbiol.* **89**, 831–841 (2013).
- J. Liu, B. Hu, D. R. Morado, S. Jani, M. D. Manson, W. Margolin, Molecular architecture of chemoreceptor arrays revealed by cryoelectron tomography of *Escherichia coli* minicells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **109**, E1481–E1488 (2012).
- W. Pan, F. W. Dahlquist, G. L. Hazelbauer, Signaling complexes control the chemotaxis kinase by altering its apparent rate constant of autophosphorylation. *Protein Sci.* 26, 1535–1546 (2017).
- B. A. Mello, W. Pan, G. L. Hazelbauer, Y. Tu, A dual regulation mechanism of histidine kinase CheA identified by combining network-dynamics modeling and system-level input-output data. *PLOS Comput. Biol.* 14, e1006305 (2018).
- A. R. Greenswag, A. Muok, X. Li, B. R. Crane, Conformational transitions that enable histidine kinase autophosphorylation and receptor array integration. *J. Mol. Biol.* 427, 3890–3907 (2015).
- M. Levit, Y. Liu, M. Surette, J. Stock, Active site interference and asymmetric activation in the chemotaxis protein histidine kinase CheA. J. Biol. Chem. 271, 32057–32063 (1996).
- V. Frank, G. E. Piñas, H. Cohen, J. S. Parkinson, A. Vaknin, Networked chemoreceptors benefit bacterial chemotaxis performance. *mBio* 7, e01824-16 (2016).
- 27. M. Li, G. L. Hazelbauer, Selective allosteric coupling in core chemotaxis signaling complexes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **111**, 15940–15945 (2014).
- M. S. Li, G. L. Hazelbauer, Core unit of chemotaxis signaling complexes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 108, 9390–9395 (2011).
- P. Mowery, P. Ames, R. H. Reiser, J. S. Parkinson, Chemotactic signaling by single-chain chemoreceptors. *PLOS ONE* 10, e0145267 (2015).
- P. Mowery, J. B. Ostler, J. S. Parkinson, Different signaling roles of two conserved residues in the cytoplasmic hairpin tip of Tsr, the *Escherichia coli* serine chemoreceptor. *J. Bacteriol.* 190, 8065–8074 (2008).
- D. R. Ortega, C. Yang, P. Ames, J. Baudry, J. S. Parkinson, I. B. Zhulin, A phenylalanine rotameric switch for signal-state control in bacterial chemoreceptors. *Nat. Commun.* 4, 2881 (2013).
- S. P. Meisburger, A. B. Taylor, C. A. Khan, S. N. Zhang, P. F. Fitzpatrick, N. Ando, Domain movements upon activation of phenylalanine hydroxylase characterized by crystallography and chromatography-coupled small-angle X-ray scattering. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 138, 6506–6516 (2016).
- A. H. Kao, C.-I. Chiu, D. Vellucci, Y. Yang, V. R. Patel, S. Guan, A. Randall, P. Baldi,
 S. D. Rychnovsky, L. Huang, Development of a novel cross-linking strategy for fast and accurate identification of cross-linked peptides of protein complexes. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* 10, M110.002212 (2011).
- X. Li, A. D. Fleetwood, C. Bayas, A. M. Bilwes, D. R. Ortega, J. J. Falke, I. B. Zhulin, B. R. Crane, The 3.2 Å resolution structure of a receptor: CheA: CheW signaling complex defines overlapping binding sites and key residue interactions within bacterial chemosensory arrays. *Biochemistry* 52, 3852–3865 (2013).

- A. R. Muok, T. K. Chua, H. Le, B. R. Crane, Nucleotide spin labeling for ESR spectroscopy of ATP-binding proteins. *Appl. Magn. Reson.* 49, 1385–1395 (2018).
- S.-Y. Park, P. P. Borbat, G. Gonzalez-Bonet, J. Bhatnagar, J. H. Freed, A. M. Bilwes, B. R. Crane, Reconstruction of the chemotaxis receptor-kinase assembly. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 13, 400–407 (2006).
- S.-i. Nishiyama, A. Garzón, J. S. Parkinson, Mutational analysis of the P1 phosphorylation domain in *Escherichia coli* CheA, the signaling kinase for chemotaxis. *J. Bacteriol.* **196**, 257–264 (2014).
- J. Zhang, Y. Xu, J. Shen, X. Luo, J. Chen, K. Chen, W. Zhu, H. Jiang, Dynamic mechanism for the autophosphorylation of CheA histidine kinase: Molecular dynamics simulations. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 127, 11709–11719 (2005).
- D. J. Hamel, H. Zhou, M. R. Starich, R. A. Byrd, F. W. Dahlquist, Chemical-shit-perturbation mapping of the phosphotransfer and catalytic domain interaction in the histidine autokinase CheA from *Thermotoga maritima*. *Biochemistry* 45, 9509–9517 (2006).
- A. K. Eaton, R. C. Stewart, The two active sites of *Thermotoga maritima* CheA dimers bind ATP with dramatically different affinities. *Biochemistry* 48, 6412–6422 (2009).
- J. Bhatnagar, R. Sircar, P. Borbat, J. H. Freed, B. R. Crane, Self-association of the histidine kinase CheA as studied by pulsed dipolar ESR spectroscopy. *Biophys. J.* 102, 2192–2201 (2012).
- A. M. Bilwes, L. A. Alex, B. R. Crane, M. I. Simon, Structure of CheA, a signal-transducing histidine kinase. *Cell* 96, 131–141 (1999).
- M. G. Surette, M. Levit, Y. Liu, G. Lukat, E. G. Ninfa, A. Ninfa, J. B. Stock, Dimerization is required for the activity of the protein histidine kinase CheA that mediates signal transduction in bacterial chemotaxis. *J. Biol. Chem.* 271, 939–945 (1996).
- M. N. Levit, T. W. Grebe, J. B. Stock, Organization of the receptor-kinase signaling array that regulates *Escherichia coli* chemotaxis. *J. Biol. Chem.* 277, 36748–36754 (2002).
- A. E. Asinas, R. M. Weis, Competitive and cooperative interactions in receptor signaling complexes. J. Biol. Chem. 281, 30512–30523 (2006).
- P. Ames, J. S. Parkinson, Constitutively signaling fragments of Tsr, the *Escherichia coli* serine chemoreceptor. J. Bacteriol. **176**, 6340–6348 (1994).
- D. J. Montefusco, A. L. Shrout, T. Y. Besschetnova, R. M. Weis, Formation and activity of template-assembled receptor signaling complexes. *Langmuir* 23, 3280–3289 (2007).
- A. L. Shrout, D. J. Montefusco, R. M. Weis, Template-directed assembly of receptor signaling complexes. *Biochemistry* 42, 13379–13385 (2003).
- M. V. Airola, N. Sukomon, D. Samanta, P. P. Borbat, J. H. Freed, K. J. Watts, B. R. Crane, HAMP domain conformers that propagate opposite signals in bacterial chemoreceptors. *PLOS Biol.* 11, e1001479 (2013).
- P. M. Wolanin, M. D. Baker, N. R. Francis, D. R. Thomas, D. J. DeRosier, J. B. Stock, Self-assembly of receptor/signaling complexes in bacterial chemotaxis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 103, 14313–14318 (2006).
- S. S. Koshy, X. Li, S. J. Eyles, R. M. Weis, L. K. Thompson, Hydrogen exchange differences between chemoreceptor signaling complexes localize to functionally important subdomains. *Biochemistry* 53, 7755–7764 (2014).
- M. J. Harris, J. O. Struppe, B. J. Wylie, A. E. McDermott, L. K. Thompson, Multidimensional solid-state nuclear magnetic resonance of a functional multiprotein chemoreceptor array. *Biochemistry* 55, 3616–3624 (2016).
- E. R. Haglin, W. Yang, A. Briegel, L. K. Thompson, His-tag-mediated dimerization of chemoreceptors leads to assembly of functional nanoarrays. *Biochemistry* 56, 5874–5885 (2017).
- S.-Y. Park, B. D. Beel, M. I. Simon, A. M. Bilwes, B. R. Crane, In different organisms, the mode of interaction between two signaling proteins is not necessarily conserved. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **101**, 11646–11651 (2004).
- L. Kott, E. H. Braswell, A. L. Shrout, R. M. Weis, Distributed subunit interactions in CheA contribute to dimer stability: A sedimentation equilibrium study. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Proteins Proteom.* 1696, 131–140 (2004).
- G. E. Piñas, J. S. Parkinson, Identification of a kinase-active CheA conformation in *Escherichia coli* chemoreceptor signaling complexes. J. Bacteriol. 201, e00543-19 (2019).
- K. N. Piasta, J. J. Falke, Increasing and decreasing the ultrastability of bacterial chemotaxis core signaling complexes by modifying protein–protein contacts. *Biochemistry* 53, 5592–5600 (2014).
- P. F. Slivka, J. J. Falke, Isolated bacterial chemosensory array possesses quasiand ultrastable components: Functional links between array stability, cooperativity, and order. *Biochemistry* 51, 10218–10228 (2012).
- K. A. Borkovich, M. I. Simon, The dynamics of protein phosphorylation in bacterial chemotaxis. *Cell* 63, 1339–1348 (1990).
- D. I. Svergun, Determination of the regularization parameter in indirect-transform methods using perceptual criteria. J. Appl. Cryst. 25, 495–503 (1992).
- A. V. Semenyuk, D. I. Svergun, GNOM—A program package for small-angle scattering data processing. J. Appl. Cryst. 24, 537–540 (1991).
- J. B. Hopkins, R. E. Gillilan, S. Skou, *BioXTAS RAW*: Improvements to a free open-source program for small-angle X-ray scattering data reduction and analysis. *J. Appl. Cryst.* 50, 1545–1553 (2017).

- P. V. Konarev, V. V. Volkov, A. V. Sokolova, M. H. J. Koch, D. I. Svergun, PRIMUS: A Windows PC-based system for small-angle scattering data analysis. J. Appl. Cryst. 36, 1277–1282 (2003).
- R. P. Rambo, J. A. Tainer, Accurate assessment of mass, models and resolution by small-angle scattering. *Nature* 496, 477–481 (2013).
- D. Franke, D. I. Svergun, DAMMIF, a program for rapid *ab-initio* shape determination in small-angle scattering. J. Appl. Cryst. 42, 342–346 (2009).
- V. V. Volkov, D. I. Svergun, Uniqueness of *ab initio* shape determination in small-angle scattering. *J. Appl. Cryst.* 36, 860–864 (2003).
- D. Schneidman-Duhovny, M. Hammel, J. A. Tainer, A. Sali, FoXS, FoXSDock and MultiFoXS: Single-state and multi-state structural modeling of proteins and their complexes based on SAXS profiles. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 44, W424–W429 (2016).
- M. L. Mendes, L. Fischer, Z. A. Chen, M. Barbon, F. J. O'Reilly, S. H. Giese, M. Bohlke-Schneider, A. Belsom, T. Dau, C. W. Combe, M. Graham, M. R. Eisele, W. Baumeister, C. Speck, J. Rappsilber, An integrated workflow for crosslinking mass spectrometry. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* 15, e8994 (2019).
- P. P. Borbat, R. H. Crepeau, J. H. Freed, Multifrequency two-dimensional Fourier transform ESR: An X/Ku-band spectrometer. J. Magn. Reson. 127, 155–167 (1997).
- P. P. Borbat, E. R. Georgieva, J. H. Freed, Improved sensitivity for long-distance measurements in biomolecules: Five-pulse double electron-electron resonance. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* 4, 170–175 (2013).
- P. P. Borbat, H. S. Mchaourab, J. H. Freed, Protein structure determination using long-distance constraints from double-quantum coherence ESR: Study of T4 lysozyme. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **124**, 5304–5314 (2002).
- M. Srivastava, E. R. Georgieva, J. H. Freed, A new wavelet denoising method for experimental time-domain signals: Pulsed dipolar electron spin resonance. J. Phys. Chem. A 121, 2452–2465 (2017).
- M. Srivastava, J. H. Freed, Singular value decomposition method to determine distance distributions in pulsed dipolar electron spin resonance: II. Estimating uncertainty. J. Phys. Chem. A 123, 359–370 (2019).
- M. Srivastava, J. H. Freed, Singular value decomposition method to determine distance distributions in pulsed dipolar electron spin resonance. J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 8, 5648–5655 (2017).
- N. Alexander, M. Bortolus, A. Al-Mestarihi, H. McHaourab, J. Meilerl, De novo highresolution protein structure determination from sparse spin-labeling EPR data. *Structure* 16, 181–195 (2008).
- P. Bernadó, E. Mylonas, M. V. Petoukhov, M. Blackledge, D. I. Svergun, Structural characterization of flexible proteins using small-angle X-ray scattering. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 129, 5656–5664 (2007).

Acknowledgments: We thank E. Yee for help with kinetics fits and the Cornell High Energy Synchrotron Source (CHESS) for access to data collection facilities. Funding: This work was supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health: R35GM122535 to B.R.C., R01GM123779 to J.H.F. and P41GM103521 for the National Biomedical Center for Advanced ESR Technologies (ACERT) to J.H.F., and was supported by the European Union under a Marie-Sklodowska-Curie COFUND LEaDing fellowship to A.R.M. and a National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship Program (NSF-GRFP) grant to A.R.M. (2014155468) CHESS is supported by NSF award DMR-1332208 and NIH/NIGMS award P30GM103485, S.Z. acknowledges support of NIH SIG grant 1S10 OD017992 for the mass spectrometer used in this study. J.H.F. and B.R.C. acknowledge support of NIH/NIGMS grant S10OD021543 for an ESR spectrometer used in this study. M.S., J.H.F., and L. C. Anderson are inventors on patent application US Patent App. 16/301,209, 2019 submitted by Cornell University that covers the method of denoising and processing the DEER data. Author contributions: A.R.M., T.K.C., W.Y., Z.M., A.B., and B.R.C. designed research; A.R.M., T.K.C., M.S., W.Y., Z.M., P.P.B., J.C., S.Z., and B.R.C. conducted research; A.R.M., T.K.C., M.S., W.Y., Z.M., P.P.B., J.C., S.Z., J.H.F., A.B., and B.R.C. analyzed data; A.R.M., T.K.C., and B.R.C. wrote the manuscript with input from all authors. Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests. Data and materials availability: The mass spectrometry proteomics data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE partner repository with the dataset identifier PXD019599. Raw SAXS and PDS data are available upon request. All other data needed to evaluate the conclusions in the paper are present in the paper or the Supplementary Materials.

Submitted 8 April 2020 Accepted 9 October 2020 Published 10 November 2020 10.1126/scisignal.abc1328

Citation: A. R. Muok, T. K. Chua, M. Srivastava, W. Yang, Z. Maschmann, P. P. Borbat, J. Chong, S. Zhang, J. H. Freed, A. Briegel, B. R. Crane, Engineered chemotaxis core signaling units indicate a constrained kinase-off state. *Sci. Signal.* **13**, eabc1328 (2020).

Science Signaling

Engineered chemotaxis core signaling units indicate a constrained kinase-off state

Alise R. Muok, Teck Khiang Chua, Madhur Srivastava, Wen Yang, Zach Maschmann, Petr P. Borbat, Jenna Chong, Sheng Zhang, Jack H. Freed, Ariane Briegel and Brian R. Crane

Sci. Signal. **13** (657), eabc1328. DOI: 10.1126/scisignal.abc1328

Bacterial chemoreceptors lock down CheA

In bacteria, lattice-like arrays of transmembrane chemoreceptors and their downstream intracellular mediators couple the detection of external chemical cues to directional switching of the flagellar motor. Signaling through this system depends on the activity of the histidine kinase CheA, which interacts with the receptors through the adaptor protein CheW. In natural arrays, receptor trimers contribute to the receptor-CheW-CheA core signaling units. Muok *et al.* engineered and purified trimeric single-chain receptor polypeptides that formed functional signaling units with CheW and CheA in the kinase-off state. The model developed through extensive structural and biochemical analyses of these reconstituted core units revealed that chemoreceptors maintained the inhibited state of the signaling core by preventing the domains of CheA that form the active kinase from interacting productively.

ARTICLE TOOLS	http://stke.sciencemag.org/content/13/657/eabc1328
SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS	http://stke.sciencemag.org/content/suppl/2020/11/06/13.657.eabc1328.DC1
RELATED CONTENT	http://stke.sciencemag.org/content/sigtrans/13/643/eaaz2970.full http://stke.sciencemag.org/content/sigtrans/11/525/eaaq0825.full http://advances.sciencemag.org/content/advances/6/25/eabb1250.full http://advances.sciencemag.org/content/advances/6/22/eaaz6153.full
REFERENCES	This article cites 76 articles, 21 of which you can access for free http://stke.sciencemag.org/content/13/657/eabc1328#BIBL
PERMISSIONS	http://www.sciencemag.org/help/reprints-and-permissions

Use of this article is subject to the Terms of Service

Science Signaling (ISSN 1937-9145) is published by the American Association for the Advancement of Science, 1200 New York Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20005. The title Science Signaling is a registered trademark of AAAS.

Copyright © 2020 The Authors, some rights reserved; exclusive licensee American Association for the Advancement of Science. No claim to original U.S. Government Works