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#### Abstract

We report on a new approach to the analysis of dynamic NMR lineshapes from polycrystalline (i.e., macroscopically disordered) samples in the presence of Magic Angle Spinning (MAS). This is an application of the Stochastic Liouville Equation developed by Freed and co-workers for treating restricted (i.e., microscopically ordered) motions. The ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ nucleus in an internally-mobile $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CD}_{3}$ moiety serves as a prototype probe. The acronym is ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{MOMD} / \mathrm{MAS}$, where MOMD stands for "microscopic-order-macroscopic-disorder." The key elements describing internal motions - their type, the local spatial restrictions, and related features of local geometry - are treated in MOMD generally, within their rigorous three-dimensional tensorial requirements. Based on this representation a single physically well-defined model of local motion has the capability of reproducing experimental spectra. There exist other methods for analyzing dynamic ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{MAS}$ spectra which advocate simple motional modes. Yet, to reproduce satisfactorily the experimental lineshapes, one has either to use unusual parameter values, or combine several simple motional modes. The multi-simple-mode reasoning assumes independence of the constituent modes, features ambiguity as different simple modes may be used, renders inter-system comparison difficult as the overall models differ, and makes possible model-improvement only by adding yet another simple mode, i.e., changing the overall model. ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{MOMD} / \mathrm{MAS}$ is free of such limitations and inherently provides a clear physical interpretation. These features are illustrated. The advantage of ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{MOMD} / \mathrm{MAS}$ in dealing with sensitive but hardly investigated slow-motional lineshapes is demonstrated by applying it to actual experimental data. The results differ from those obtained previously with a two-site exchange scheme that yielded unusual parameters.


## 1. Introduction

NMR is a powerful method for studying structural dynamics in the solid-state [1-15]. Of particular interest is the internal mobility of biomolecules, notably peptides and proteins. The samples studied are typically macroscopically disordered (i.e., polycrystalline) morphologies. Several methods, applicable to different timescales, have been developed. In this study we focus on stochastic internal motions that modulate anisotropic NMR interactions. The ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ nucleus in an internally-mobile $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CD}_{3}$ moiety is selected as a prototype probe. A new approach for analyzing the slow-motional lineshapes emerging from the modulation of the ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ quadrupole interaction in the presence of Magic Angle Spinning (MAS) is developed. It represents an extension of the approach we previously utilized for lineshapes obtained in the absence of MAS; the utility and benefit of this approach in the presence of MAS are delineated below.

In the static limit the ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ spectrum from samples placed in conventional sample holders (no MAS) is the typical Pake pattern. In the socalled slow-motional regime, where the motional rates are comparable to the quadrupole interaction, hence are on the order of $\mu s-m s$, dynamic lineshapes emerge. This is distinct from the case in which these motional rates are much larger than the quadrupole interaction and the information on structural dynamics is comprised in relaxation parameters (in this case, ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H} T_{1 \mathrm{Z}}$ and $T_{1 \mathrm{Q}}$ ). The slow-motional regime, which is the focus of this study, is substantially more sensitive than the fast-motional (relaxation) limit.

Methods for analyzing ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ lineshapes from polycrystalline samples exist [1-6,11-15]. Traditionally simple, typically jump-type, dynamic modes have been employed [2,3,5,11,14]. In most cases one simple mode does not reproduce the experimental lineshapes satisfactorily. Hence a collection of several such modes, i.e., multi-simple-mode schemes, have

[^0]been devised $[5,14]$. Such an approach (1) assumes that the simple-mode constituents are independent, (2) produces models that are not unique as different simple modes may be used, (3) renders comparison of different systems difficult in view of the intrinsic differences among the overall models, and (4) renders improvement of the model possible only by adding yet another simple motion in order to modify the overall model. In some cases, a single simple motion featuring unusual values of parameters determined by data-fitting is used as alternative to a multi-simple-mode scheme; however, such parameter values are problematic.

We have developed a different approach for the analysis of dynamic ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ lineshapes [12,13]. This approach, based on the Stochastic Liouville Equation (SLE) developed by Freed and co-workers [16-20], is based on the following reasoning. To describe a restricted/internal motion one has to account for three key factors: the type of motion, the spatial (orientational) restrictions at the site of the motion, and related features of local geometry. In the SLE approach these factors are represented by tensors of full three-dimensionality. The motion itself is represented by a second rank diffusion tensor, which can yield jump-type dynamics when appropriate orientational restrictions are included (cf. Ref. [20]). The spatial restrictions are represented by a potential, $u$ (in units of $k T$ ), that may be expanded in the full basis set of the generalized spherical harmonics. For practical reasons this expansion is truncated, typically preserving the (lowest) $L=2$, and $K=0,2$ terms [17,18]. A second rank ordering tensor may be defined in terms of $u$. One then includes in the analysis the transformation of the Principal Axes System (PAS) of the local ordering tensor (usually taken for convenience the same as the PAS of the local diffusion tensor) into the PAS of the ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ quadrupolar tensor.

The preceding paragraph describes a general model for the local motion. Let us compare it with a multi-simple-mode model, for example, a scheme comprising exchange between two unequally-populated sitetypes combined with independent jumps on an arc centered at a nearby chemical bond. ${ }^{11}$ In fitting the experimental data one varies $k_{\text {exchange }}$ and $k_{\text {jump }}$ as (unrelated) kinetic parameters, as well as the exchange-related population, $p$, and the arc-length, $l$, as (unrelated) structural parameters. The corresponding SLE model is given by a probe executing diffusive local motion in the presence of a rhombic potential. Here one varies in the data-fitting process the diffusion rate constants $R_{\|}$and $R_{\perp}$ as the kinetic parameters, and the coefficients $c_{0}^{2}$ and $c_{2}^{2}$ of the local potential, $u$, as the structural parameters. The potential, $u$, can be displayed pictorially [21,22]; the corresponding Boltzmann factor, $\exp (-u)$, enables one to calculate order parameters, conformational entropy (representing the entire model rather than a given constituent thereof [23]), as well as any other ensemble average [16-21,24-26].

Relevant for present purposes is the extension of this SLE model called the microscopic-order-macroscopic-disorder (MOMD) approach developed initially for ESR applications, where the director (axis of preferential ordering) is randomly oriented over the macroscopic sample [27]. In Ref. [12] we developed MOMD for the analysis of ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ NMR lineshapes for samples in conventional sample holders (no MAS). Reference [13] is devoted to applications of this MOMD model. It is shown that physically well-defined parameter combinations differing in complexity can be devised by monitoring orienting potential magnitude, symmetry and (via the associated ordering tensor) orientation. This enables treating a continuous range of scenarios within the scope of the same general model. The drawbacks associated with the simple-mode rationale are thus mostly overcome. Applying ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{MOMD}$ and the corresponding multi-simple-mode schemes to the same experimental data yielded substantially different interpretations [12,13].

Magic Angle Spinning technology fundamentally changed the field of solid-state NMR. It also impacted the field of NMR lineshape analysis by increasing sensitivity (and improving additional aspects). Incorporating MAS into theories for treating restricted motions is an intricate and compute-intensive endeavor. Several authors met this challenge, generating and applying theoretical/computational tools based on the multi-simple-mode rationale [14,15,28-33]. Many of the applications
reported so far feature motions that are either much slower or much faster that the quadrupole interaction. In relatively few applications was the slow-motional regime entered at least in part [28-30,33]

In this study we extend our ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{MOMD}$ approach $[12,13]$ to include Magic Angle Spinning. All of the features of ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{MOMD}$ are preserved in ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{MOMD} / \mathrm{MAS}$. As pointed out above, the slow-motional regime has hardly been explored; ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{MOMD} / \mathrm{MAS}$ is particularly useful in this highly sensitive parameter range. The option of having at hand two sets of evolving lineshapes - with and without MAS - is an interesting prospect. As shown below, ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{MOMD} / \mathrm{MAS}$ is more sensitive to details of the motion than ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{MOMD}$.

Because of the breadth of 1D dynamic ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ lineshapes, selective ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}-$ labeling has to be used. Recently a pulse-sequence for acquiring 3D ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ MAS spectra, which are obviously much better resolved, was developed [34]. This pulse-sequence was applied to the first immunoglobulin domain of streptococcal protein $G$, which was labeled uniformly with deuterium and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$. A single 3D MAS spectrum comprises the ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ lineshapes from all of the aliphatic-carbon-bonded ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ atoms. So far only fast-motional spectra analyzed in terms of partially-averaged quadrupolar tensors were reported. Further methodological developments are expected to generate $3 \mathrm{D}{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}_{-}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ MAS spectra amenable to quantitative analysis in the entire dynamic range.

The $\mu s$ - $m s$ time-window is relevant biologically. Current advances in MAS-based research in this important parameter-range concern mainly relaxation-dispersion [35]. The development of a general method for lineshape analysis in the $\mu s$-ms time-window is certainly timely and appropriate.

Vold and co-workers previously developed a SLE-based method for analyzing ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ lineshapes in the presence of MAS. A simple potential, and a motional mode essentially jump-type in nature, were used [36]. SLE-based methods for analyzing ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ lineshapes in DNA fragments, which are specific to ring-puckering, were also developed [37].

This article is structured as follows. The MOMD formalism has been given in previous publications. A summary of its basis and the enhancements implied by the inclusion of MAS are delineated in the Theoretical Background section. The Results and Discussion section comprises calculated ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{MOMD} / \mathrm{MAS}$ spectra and their discussion. The current calculations allow for a rhombic local potential, an axial local diffusion tensor, and non-coincidence of the dynamic-model-related and quadrupolar-tensor-related tensor frames. We demonstrate the sensitivity and generality of this approach. We then illustrate applicability to actual spectra, and compare our interpretation with that of a previous study where the same spectra were analyzed with a simple-mode model that yielded unusual parameters.

## 2. Theoretical background

For a given molecule the Stochastic Liouville Equation for the density matrix operator, $\widehat{\rho}(\Omega, t)$, evolving governed by a time-dependent spin Hamiltonian, $\widehat{\mathscr{H}}$, and a Markovian operator, $\widehat{\Gamma}$, is given by Refs. [16-18]:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \widehat{\rho}(\Omega, t) & =-i[\widehat{\mathscr{H}}, \widehat{\rho}(\Omega, t)]-\widehat{\Gamma} \widehat{\rho}(\Omega, t) \\
& =-\left(i \widehat{\mathscr{H}}^{\chi}+\widehat{\Gamma}\right) \widehat{\rho}(\Omega, t) \equiv-\widehat{\mathscr{L}}^{\chi} \widehat{\rho}(\Omega, t) \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Omega$ denotes the probe coordinates, $\widehat{\mathscr{H}}^{\chi}$ is the commutator superoperator defined with respect to $\widehat{\mathscr{H}}$, and $\widehat{\mathscr{L}}^{\chi} \equiv i \widehat{\mathscr{H}}^{\chi}+\widehat{\Gamma}$ is the (stochastic) Liouville superoperator [20].

The formal solution of Eq. (1) may be written as:
$\widehat{g}(t)=\exp \left[i \int_{0}^{t} \widehat{\mathscr{L}}^{\chi}\left(t^{\prime}\right) d t^{\prime}\right] \widehat{g}(0)$.
The relevant frame (which includes the MAS angle) is shown in Fig. 1. Q is the quadrupolar tensor frame and M is the local ordering/local diffusion tensor frame. Both frames are fixed in the probe. In general, the

 local director frame, fixed in the molecule; $R$, rotor frame; $L$, space-fixed lab frame.
principal axes of the M and Q tensors are tilted at angle $\beta_{\mathrm{MQ}}$ with respect to each other. C is the uniaxial local director frame fixed in the molecule. For $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CD}_{3}$ dynamics C is the average orientation of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CD}_{3}$ bond. The $M$ frame is ordered with respect to the C frame, and diffuses with respect to it. The C frame is distributed in space at random with respect to the rotor frame, R, which rotates in the laboratory frame, L , at the fixed angle of $54.7^{\circ}$. The motion of $R$ is deterministic; the motion of $M$ is stochastic.

Let us focus on the deterministic motion of the R frame. The timedependence of the Liouville superoperator is contained in a single azimuthal angle, $\xi(t)$. In this case $\widehat{g}(t)$ may be expanded as a time-ordered exponential, with the motion of the rotor representing a coherent Markov process (see also Ref. [38]). The corresponding SLE is given by:
$\frac{\partial \widehat{g}(\xi, t)}{\partial t}=-\left(i \widehat{\mathscr{H}}{ }^{\chi}+\widehat{\Gamma}_{\text {rot }}\right) \widehat{g}(\xi, t)$,
where the Markovian operator, $\widehat{\Gamma}_{\text {rot }}$, is given by $\widehat{\Gamma}_{\text {rot }}=\nu_{\text {rot }} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}$, with $\nu_{\text {rot }}$ denoting the spinning frequency of the rotor.

Let us now consider the stochastic motion of the M frame in the C frame, which represents the internal motion of the probe. The Markovian operator, $\widehat{\Gamma}_{\text {int }}$ (which would appear in Eq. (1) in the absence of MAS), is given by Ref. [20]:
$\widehat{\Gamma}_{\text {int }}=\widehat{\mathrm{J}}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{CM}}\right) \mathbf{R} P_{\text {eq }} \widehat{\mathrm{J}}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{CM}}\right) P_{\text {eq }}^{-1}$.
$\widehat{J}$ is the vector operator that generates an infinitesimal rotation of the probe, $\mathbf{R}$ is the time-independent diffusion tensor diagonal in the M frame, and $P_{\text {eq }}=\exp (-u)$ is the equilibrium probability density of probe orientations, with $u$ representing the local potential in units of $k T$. For uniaxial C one has $\Omega_{\mathrm{CM}}=(0, \theta, \varphi)$.

In this study the probe is taken to be an axial rotator which in the absence of the restricting potential, $u$, is described by three decay rate constants, $\tau_{K}^{-1}=6 R_{\perp}+K^{2}\left(R_{\|}-R_{\perp}\right)$, where $K=0,1,2(K$ is the order of the rank 2 diffusion tensor; $R_{\|}$and $R_{\perp}$ are the principal values of $\mathbf{R}$ ). One may also define $\tau_{\|}=1 /\left(6 R_{\|}\right)$and $\tau_{\perp}=1 /\left(6 R_{\perp}\right)$.

The general form of the local potential, $U\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{CM}}\right)$ is [17]:
$U\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{CM}}\right)=\sum_{L, K} c_{K}^{L} D_{0, K}^{L}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{CM}}\right)$.
$D_{0, K}^{L}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{CM}}\right)$ are the Wigner rotation matrix elements (also known as the generalized spherical harmonic functions). If only the lowest, $L=2$, terms are preserved, one will obtain the (real) rhombic potential [17]:

$$
\begin{align*}
u\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{CM}}\right)= & U\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{CM}}\right) / k T \approx-c_{0}^{2} D_{0,0}^{2}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{CM}}\right)-c_{2}^{2}\left[D_{0,2}^{2}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{CM}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+D_{0,-2}^{2}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{CM}}\right)\right] . \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

The coefficient $c_{0}^{2}$ evaluates the strength of $u\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{CM}}\right) ; c_{2}^{2}$ measures its non-axiality.

Local order parameters are defined as [17]:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle D_{0, K}^{2}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{CM}}\right)\right\rangle= & \int d \Omega_{\mathrm{CM}} D_{0, K}^{2}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{CM}}\right) \exp \left[-u\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{CM}}\right)\right] \\
& / \int d \Omega_{\mathrm{CM}} \exp \left[-u\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{CM}}\right)\right] . \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

For at least three-fold symmetry around C and at least two-fold symmetry around $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{M}}$, only the irreducible tensor components $S_{0}^{2} \equiv\left\langle D_{0,0}^{2}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{CM}}\right)\right\rangle \quad$ (for $K=0$ ) and $S_{2}^{2} \equiv\left\langle D_{0,2}^{2}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{CM}}\right)+D_{0,-2}^{2}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{CM}}\right)\right\rangle$ (for $K=2$ ) survive [17].

Given that the deterministic rotor motion and the stochastic internal motion are independent, the SLE is given by:
$\frac{\partial \widehat{g}\left(\xi, t ; \Omega_{\mathrm{CM}}, t\right)}{\partial t}=-\left(i \widehat{\mathscr{H}}^{\chi}+\Gamma\right) \widehat{g}\left(\xi, t ; \Omega_{\mathrm{CM}}, t\right)$,
where $\widehat{\Gamma}=\widehat{\Gamma}_{\text {rot }}+\widehat{\Gamma}_{\text {int }}$.
To obtain the overall spectrum, the lineshapes from the individual randomly oriented molecules are summed.

## 3. Results and discussion

### 3.1. Predictive simulations

All of the ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ spectra shown below were calculated with an effective quadrupolar constant $\langle\mathrm{Q}>=53.06 \mathrm{kHz}$. The latter was obtained taking the established value of 167 kHz as quadrupole constant for a static C-D bond in a methyl group [39], and $110.4^{\circ}$ as tetrahedral angle of the methyl group [40]. Very fast methyl-group rotation yields $167 \times P_{2} \cos \left(110.4^{\circ}\right)=53.06 \mathrm{kHz}$, where $P_{2}$ is the second-rank Legendre polynomial. An intrinsic linewidth of 1 kHz was used throughout, unless specified otherwise.

For motions that are rather close to the rigid-limit or to the extreme motional narrowing limit, the ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{MAS}$ spectrum comprises very narrow side-bands [14,28-33,36]. Here the analysis consists of measuring changes in the relative intensities, and in some cases the shapes, of the individual side-bands. To obtain accurate results the calculated spectra have to be very well resolved. This requires accounting for approximately 1000 molecular orientations in the spectrum-summing process [30-33,36]. On the other hand, the analysis of slow-motional lineshapes


Fig. 2. ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ MOMD/MAS spectra calculated for $c_{0}^{2}=3.3$ and $\log R=1.6$ (a), 1.8 (b), 2.0 (c), 3.2 (d), 3.7 (e), $3.9(\mathrm{f}), 4.2(\mathrm{~g}), 4.8$ (h) and 6.0 (i), where $R$ denotes the isotropic local diffusion constant in units of $s^{-1}$. The intrinsic linewidth, $\left(T_{2}^{*}\right)^{-1}$, is 1 kHz in Fig. 2a-f, and 0.25 kHz in Fig. $2 \mathrm{~g}-\mathrm{i}$. The partially-averaged (by fast methyl rotation) quadrupolar constant is $\left\langle Q>=53.06 \mathrm{kHz} ; v_{\text {rot }}=6 \mathrm{kHz}\right.$.
requires reproducing the overall shapes of spectra that are either smooth, or feature relatively broad side-bands. We found that accurate results can be obtained with less than 100 molecular orientations. When applied to such slow-motional lineshapes extending over a large-enough tempera-ture-range, we find that ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{MOMD} / \mathrm{MAS}$ yields an accurate and useful picture of the structural dynamics.

### 3.1.1. Axial local potential and isotropic local diffusion

Fig. 2 shows ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ lineshapes for an axial local potential, $u$, of $3.3 k T$ as a function of $\log R$, where $R$ is the isotropic diffusion constant in units of $s^{-1}$. The rotor spinning frequency, $\nu_{\mathrm{rot}}$, is 6 kHz . Based on previous work (Refs. [12,13], and articles cited therein), the relatively weak potential of $3.3 k T$ is typical of methyl-moiety dynamics. The parameter $\log R$ is varied from 1.6 to 6.0 , i.e., $R$ is varied from 39.8 to $10^{6} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$; the spectra shown in Fig. 2 cover the whole time-window of interest.

The lineshape shown in Fig. 2d, which corresponds to $\log R=3.2$, is reminiscent of the coalescence spectrum in the two-site-exchange model.


Fig. 3. Schematic of valine-methyl side-chain for standard tetrahedral site-symmetry and very fast methyl reorientation (a). Illustration of perpendicular ordering of $Z_{M}$ (the principal axis of the local ordering/local diffusion tensor) in the uniaxial director frame, C (b).

An increase in complexity, associated with the appearance of side-bands, is brought about upon proceeding toward both limits of the MOMD/MAS motional time-window. This is reminiscent of the narrowing of the coalescence spectrum upon proceeding toward both limits of the two-siteexchange time-window, but it is more complex. For example, let us


Fig. 4. ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ MOMD/MAS spectra calculated for $c_{0}^{2}=2.0$ (parts a-d) and $c_{0}^{2}=4.0$ (parts $\mathrm{e}-\mathrm{h}) . \log R$ is 3.0 in parts a and $\mathrm{e}, 4.0$ in parts b and $\mathrm{f}, 5.0$ in parts c and g , and 6.0 in parts d and h . The intrinsic linewidth, $\left(T_{2}^{*}\right)^{-1}$, is 1 kHz and $\left\langle\mathrm{Q}>\right.$ is 53.06 kHz ; $v_{\text {rot }}=6 \mathrm{kHz}$.


Fig. 5. ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ MOMD/MAS spectra calculated with $c_{0}^{2}=2.0$ (parts a-d) and $c_{0}^{2}=4.0$ (parts e-h). $\log R$ is 3.0 in parts a and e; 4.0 in parts b and f; 5.0 in parts c and g ; and 6.0 in parts d and $\mathrm{h} .\left(T_{2}^{*}\right)^{-1},\langle\mathrm{Q}\rangle$ and $v_{\text {rot }}$ are as specified in the captions of Fig. 4.
compare the MOMD/MAS spectra for $\log R=2.0$ (Fig. 2c) and $\log R=3.7$ (Fig. 2e). $\log R=2.0$ represents a rate that is slower, whereas $\log R=3.7$ a rate that is faster, than that corresponding to the coalescence-reminiscent lineshape. It can be seen that in spectrum 2c the second pair of sidebands, whereas in spectrum 2 e the first pair of side-bands, has the largest relative intensity. This feature has useful practical implications (see below).

The axial local potential, $u=-c_{0}^{2} D_{00}^{2}$ (first term of Eq. (6)), may be negative or positive. Negative potentials, where $c_{0}^{2}>0$, are associated "parallel" ordering, i.e., preferential orientation of $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{M}}$ along the local director $[17,25,26]$. Positive potentials, where $c_{0}^{2}<0$, are associated with "perpendicular" ordering, i.e., preferential orientation of $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{M}}$
perpendicular to the local director [17,25,26]. By approximating the tetrahedral angle as $90^{\circ}$, one may envision in this case the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond preceding the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CD}_{3}$ bond as main local ordering/local diffusion axis. Fig. 3 illustrates this scenario, using the valine side-chain as an example (cf. part a). The local director $-\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{C}}$ in Fig. 3 b - represents the average orientation of the $C^{\beta}-C^{\gamma 1}$ (or $C^{\beta}-C^{\gamma 2}$ ) bond. Let us ascribe $Z_{M}$ (the local ordering/local diffusion axis) to the $C^{\alpha}-C^{\beta}$ bond. For standard tetrahedral geometry $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{M}}$ is tilted at $110.4^{\circ}$ from $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{Q}}$ (the instantaneous orientation of the $C^{\beta}-C^{\gamma 1}$ (or $C^{\beta}-C^{\gamma 2}$ ) bond). In tightly-packed immediate surroundings $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{Q}}$ orients preferentially along $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{C}}$; taking $\beta_{\mathrm{MQ}} \sim 90^{\circ}, \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{M}}$ will orient preferentially perpendicular to $Z_{C}$, i.e., exhibit negative ordering.

Fig. 4 shows ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ lineshapes obtained for potentials with $c_{0}^{2}=2$ (left column) and $c_{0}^{2}=4$ (right column), with $\log R$ varied in the range of 3-6. While the spectra in Fig. 4a and e, corresponding to $\log R=3$, differ to a small extent, the spectra in Fig. 4b and f, corresponding to $\log R=4$, differ substantially. So do the spectra in Fig. 4c and g, corresponding to $\log R=5$, and Fig. 4 d and h , corresponding to $\log R=6$.

Fig. 5 shows ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ lineshapes obtained for potentials with $c_{0}^{2}=-2$ (left column) and $c_{0}^{2}=-4$ (right column), with $\log R$ varied in the range of $3-6$. Like Fig. 4a and e, associated with $\log R=3$, Fig. 5a and e, also associated with $\log R=3$, are quite similar. Actually all four spectra are similar, indicating that the $\log R=3$ region of ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{MOMD} / \mathrm{MAS}$ is rather insensitive for relatively weak axial potentials. Fig. 5b and f, associated with $\log R=4$, differ substantially. Fig. 5 c and g , associated with $\log R=5$, differ slightly; so do Fig. 5d and h , associated with $\log R=6$. Thus, "perpendicular" ordering is less sensitive to variations in dynamics than "parallel" ordering. However, on the whole Figs. 2, 4 and 5 demonstrate substantial sensitivity of ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{MOMD} / \mathrm{MAS}$ even within the scope of the simple parameter combination of axial local potential and isotropic local diffusion.

### 3.1.2. Axial local diffusion, rhombic local potentials, and tilted ordering/ diffusion frame

Previous work based on ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{MOMD}$ (no MAS) showed that axial diffusion, rhombic potential, and $\beta_{\mathrm{MQ}} \neq 0$ is an adequate parameter combination for analyzing typical slow-motional ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ lineshapes $[12,13]$. This is also relevant to ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{MOMD} / \mathrm{MAS}$.

We select as an example an axial diffusion tensor with $\log R_{\perp}=4.4$ and $\log R_{\|}=5$ (Fig. 6). $\log R_{\|}=5.0$ represents faster motion around the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CD}_{3}$ bond and $\log R_{\perp}=4.4$ represents slower motion of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CD}_{3}$


Fig. 6. ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ MOMD/MAS spectra calculated for axial local diffusion with $\log R_{\perp}=4.4$ and $\log R_{\|}=5.0$, and rhombic potential with $c_{0}^{2}=2.30$ and $c_{2}^{2}=1.19$ (part a); $c_{0}^{2}=2.10$ and $c_{2}^{2}=1.02$ (part b); $c_{0}^{2}=1.90$ and $c_{2}^{2}=0.86$ (part c); and $c_{0}^{2}=1.80$ and $c_{2}^{2}=0.78$ (part d). $\left(T_{2}^{*}\right)^{-1}$ and $\langle\mathrm{Q}\rangle$ are as specified in the captions of Fig. $4 ; v_{\mathrm{rot}}=4 \mathrm{kHz}$.


Fig. 7. ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ MOMD/MAS spectra calculated for rhombic local potential with $c_{0}^{2}=2.2$ and $c_{2}^{2}=2.6$, and axial local diffusion with $\log R_{\perp}=2.2$ and $\log R_{\|}=4.5$. The angle $\beta_{\mathrm{MQ}}$ is $90^{\circ}$ (black), $100^{\circ}$ (red), $110^{\circ}$ (green), $120^{\circ}$ (blue) and $130^{\circ}$ (orange). $\left(T_{2}^{*}\right)^{-1},<\mathrm{Q}>$ and $v_{\text {rot }}$ are as specified in the captions of Fig. 4. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
bond. The rhombic potentials (Eq. (6)) are given by the coefficients $c_{0}^{2}$ and $c_{2}^{2}$, with values specified in Fig. 6. The parameter $c_{0}^{2}$ measures potential strength; let us take the ratio $c_{2}^{2} / c_{0}^{2}$ as measure of potential rhombicity. The mean of the $c_{0}^{2}$ values in Fig. 6 is 2.03 ; the extreme values of $c_{0}^{2}$ deviate from it by $11 \%$. The mean of $c_{2}^{2} / c_{0}^{2}$ is 0.47 ; the extreme values of $c_{2}^{2} / c_{0}^{2}$ deviate from it by $10 \%$. Despite these relatively small differences in $c_{0}^{2}$ and $c_{2}^{2} / c_{0}^{2}$, the ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ lineshapes of Fig. 6a-d shows distinguishing features. Thus, in Fig. 6a and b the first pair of side-bands exhibit the largest intensity; in Fig. $6 c$ the center-band and the first pair of side-bands have comparable intensity; in Fig. 6d the center-band has the highest intensity. The sensitivity of the slow-motional regime to
the form of the rhombic local potential is considerable. The rotor spinning frequency used to generate Fig. 6 is $\nu_{\text {rot }}=4 \mathrm{kHz}$.

Another important feature is the orientation of $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{M}}$ (main local ordering/local diffusion axis) with respect to $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{Q}}$ (principal axis of the quadrupolar tensor), given by the angle $\beta_{\mathrm{MQ}}$. The sensitivity of ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H} /$ MOMD/MAS to $\beta_{\text {MQ }}$ is illustrated in Fig. 7. The local potential is given by $c_{0}^{2}=2.2$ and $c_{2}^{2}=2.6$, and the local diffusion tensor by $\log R_{\perp}=2.2$ and $\log R_{\|}=4.5$. $\beta_{\mathrm{MQ}}$ is ascribed the values of $90,100,110,120$ and $130^{\circ}$ (the vicinity of the tetrahedral angle). Several spectral changes implied by increasing $\beta_{\mathrm{MQ}}$ from $90^{\circ}$ to $130^{\circ}$ can be discerned. Some features, such as the intensity of the shoulder located at approximately $\pm 20 \mathrm{kHz}$, change monotonically. Other features are non-monotonic in nature. Thus, for $\beta_{\text {MQ }}$ equal to 110 and $120^{\circ}\left(90\right.$ and $130^{\circ}$ ) the intensity of the center-band is larger (smaller) than the intensity of the first pair of side-bands; for $\beta_{\mathrm{MQ}}=100^{\circ}$ the intensities of the center-band and the first pair of sidebands are comparable.

### 3.1.3. MAS and $\nu_{M A S}$

To increase the amount of data at hand for determining the physical parameters one can vary several experimental parameters that affect the ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ lineshapes. MAS itself is one of them. Fig. 8a shows slow-motional ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H} /$ MOMD/MAS spectra for a rotor spinning frequency, $\nu_{\mathrm{rot}}$, of 6 kHz . The physical parameters used include a rhombic potential with $c_{0}^{2}=2.2$ and $c_{2}^{2}=1.8$, a local diffusion tensor with $\log R_{\perp}=2.2$ and $\log R_{\|}=4.3$, and $\beta_{\text {MQ }}=100,120$ or $130^{\circ}$. Fig. 8 b shows the ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{MOMD}$ spectra (no MAS) obtained for the same physical parameters. In all of the cases the lineshapes obtained in the presence of MAS are more structured than their analogues obtained without MAS.

Fig. 9 shows ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ lineshapes obtained for a local potential with $c_{0}^{2}=$ 2.84 and $c_{2}^{2}=1.71$, and local diffusion tensors with $3.9 \leq \log R_{\perp} \leq 4.6$ and $5.0 \leq \log R_{\|} \leq 5.5$. Fig. 9a was obtained with $\nu_{\text {rot }}=4 \mathrm{kHz}$; Fig. 9b was obtained with $\nu_{\text {rot }}=6 \mathrm{kHz}$. Invariably the side-bands of the spectra obtained with $\nu_{\text {rot }}=4 \mathrm{kHz}$ (Fig. 9a) are narrower than the side-bands of the spectra obtained with $\nu_{\text {rot }}=6 \mathrm{kHz}$ (Fig. 9b). This is the case even for pairs of spectra where the $\nu_{\text {rot }}=6 \mathrm{kHz}$ constituent is associated with



Fig. 8. ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ MOMD/MAS spectra calculated for rhombic local potential with $c_{0}^{2}=2.2$ and $c_{2}^{2}=1.8$, axial local diffusion with $\log R_{\perp}=2.2$ and $\log R_{\|}=4.3$, and angle $\beta_{\mathrm{MQ}}=$ $100^{\circ}$ (black), $120^{\circ}$ (red) or $130^{\circ}$ (blue) (part a). ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ MOMD (no MAS) spectra calculated with the same parameters (part b). ( $\left.T_{2}^{*}\right)^{-1},<$ Q $>$ and $v_{\text {rot }}$ are as specified in the captions of Fig. 4 .



 as specified in the captions of Fig. 4. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
larger parallel diffusion constant, with all of the other parameter identical (spectra colored red in Fig. 9a and b). Clearly, the spectra shown in Fig. 9a differ qualitatively from the spectra shown in Fig. 9b (in addition to the inherently denser side-bands for smaller $\nu_{\text {rot }}$ ).

### 3.1.4. Analysis of actual experimental data

There are a considerable number of studies reporting on multi-simplemode analyses of ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ lineshapes in the absence of MAS [11,41,42]. A more limited number of studies report on the analysis of ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ lineshapes from polycrystalline samples in the presence of MAS $[28-33,36,43]$. The latter category focuses to a large extent on the regions close to the rigidlimit or the extreme-motional-narrowing limit.

Only in a few cases were slow-motional spectra acquired and analyzed. Such lineshapes appear in the work of S. Vega et al. (e.g., Refs. [28,29,33]). These authors developed analysis of dynamics within the scope of Floquet theory. The systems studied are quite intricate, consisting of mesoporous materials that host in their pores aqueous solutions of small ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$-labeled molecules. For example, in Ref. [33] the guest molecules are distributed among three categories: immobile molecules, molecules adsorbed at the inner surface of the host, and molecules reorienting freely in the solution filling the pores. The experimental parameter varied is the extent to which the pores are filled with solution, and/or the temperature. The analysis involves subtracting from the experimental spectrum the immobile component allowing its contribution to vary, and analyzing the remaining lineshape in terms of exchange


Fig. 10. Schematic of dimethylsulfone. The CSC angle serving as angular separation in the two-site exchange model of Ref. [30] is depicted in blue. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
between a site where the guest is adsorbed uniformly onto the host walls, and a site where it executes isotropic Brownian motion within the pore-filling solution. Such complexity is not suited as a test-case for a new theoretical approach; it will be addressed in future work.

Another study that shows several slow-motional ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ spectra is the work of Hologne and Hirshinger [30]. These authors studied the relatively simple system of the $\alpha-p$-tert-buthylcalix[4]arene inclusion compound comprising as guest either ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$-labeled-dimethylsulfone, or ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$-labeled-nitrobenzene. Most spectra belong to the very-slow-motion limit. Two lineshapes, pertaining to the ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$-labeled-dimethylsulfone guest which is depicted schematically in Fig. 10, are of the slow-motional type. These spectra, acquired at 355 and 345 K , are shown in Fig. 11a and b. In Ref. [30] they were reproduced with an effective quadrupolar constant, <Q>, of 56 kHz (the established value is $53.06 \mathrm{kHz}[39,40]$ ), and a dynamic model consisting of exchange between two equally-populated sites with an angular separation of $106^{\circ}$. The CSC angle, which represents that angular separation, is depicted in Fig. 10. An extensive study on the structure of 87 uncoordinated methyl sulfoxides determined that the median value of this angle is $98^{\circ}$, with minimum of $92.2^{\circ}$ and maximum of $103.5^{\circ}$ [44]. Correlation times of $\tau_{355}=$ $(5.0 \times 0.5) \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~s}$ for 355 K (Fig. 11a), and $\tau_{345}=(1.00 \times 0.05) \times$ $10^{-4} \mathrm{~s}$ for 345 K (Fig. 11b), were determined. Taking $R=1 /(6 \tau)$ (as in MOMD), one obtains $\log R=3.5$ and 3.2 for the 355 and 345 K spectra, respectively.

Fig. 12 shows ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{MOMD} / \mathrm{MAS}$ simulations obtained with $\log R=3.5$ and axial local potentials with coefficients ranging from $c_{0}^{2}=1.63$ to $c_{0}^{2}=4.9$. The value of $\log R=3.5$ is the logarithm of $R=1 /\left(6 \tau_{355}\right)$. MOMD uses $c_{0}^{2}$ as the parameter associated with the local spatial restrictions. The two-site-exchange analogue may be taken to be $p \times(1-p)$, which for fast motion corresponds to $\left(S_{0}^{2}\right)^{2}$ (the square of the axial form of Eq. (7)). For equally-populated sites $p \times(1-p)=0.25 ;\left(S_{0}^{2}\right)^{2}=0.25$ corresponds to $c_{0}^{2}=2.3$ (e.g., Fig. 2 of Ref. [21]). This estimate lies within the range spanned by $c_{0}^{2}$ in Fig. 12. Thus, if the particular two-site-exchange scheme of Ref. [30] were a good approximation to general ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{MOMD} / \mathrm{MAS}$, some of the spectra shown in Fig. 12 would


 $<\mathrm{Q}>$ and $v_{\text {rot }}$ are as specified in the captions of Fig. 4.
have reproduced the experimental lineshapes of Fig. 11a and b reasonably well.

This expectation is not borne out; the key feature of the second pair of
side-bands having the largest relative intensity in Fig. 11a and $b$ is not reproduced by any of the lineshapes shown in Fig. 12, notably the lineshapes in Fig. 12d-f, whose overall appearance resembles the lineshapes


Fig. 12. ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ MOMD/MAS spectra calculated for isotropic local diffusion with $\log R=3.5$ and axial local potential with $c_{0}^{2}=1.63$ (part a); $c_{0}^{2}=2.33$ (part b); $c_{0}^{2}=3.27$ (part c ); $c_{0}^{2}=3.80$ (part a); $c_{0}^{2}=3.80$ (part d); $c_{0}^{2}=4.08$ (part e); and $c_{0}^{2}=4.90$ (part f). $\left(T_{2}^{*}\right)^{-1},\langle\mathrm{Q}\rangle$ and $v_{\text {rot }}$ are as specified in the captions of Fig. 4.
in Fig. 11a and b. Rather, consistently the first pair of side-bands has the largest relative intensity in Fig. 12d-f.

On the other hand, the dominant feature of the experimental spectra in Fig. 11a and b are reproduced by the MOMD spectra in Fig. 11c-e, which were obtained for $c_{0}^{2}=3.3$, and $\log R=2.0,1.8$ and 1.6 , respectively. Thus, ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{MOMD} / \mathrm{MAS}$ can reproduce the key features of the experimental spectra shown in Fig. 11a and b with considerably stronger potential, and substantially smaller motional rates, than the formal analogues of these quantities in the model featuring exchange between two sites with angular separation of $106^{\circ}$. Also, we have used the established value of $\langle\mathrm{Q}\rangle=53.06 \mathrm{kHz},{ }^{39,40}$ to be compared with $\langle\mathrm{Q}\rangle=56 \mathrm{kHz}$ used in Ref. [30].

The results outlined above are based on the simple MOMD parameter combination of axial local potential and isotropic local diffusion. Utilizing this simple parameter combination was required to render comparison with the model used in Ref. [30] meaningful. On the basis of the sensitivity of the ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{MOMD} / \mathrm{MAS}$ analysis illustrated in Figs. 6 and 7 it is very likely that the agreement with the experiment will be improved by allowing additional parameters to vary. However, to determine an unambiguous picture of structural dynamics, a larger set of experimental temperature-dependent slow-motional spectra is required.

We hope that this article will motivate experimentalists to look for conditions where slow-motional ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{MAS}$ spectra associated with important internal motions, particularly in peptides, proteins and DNA fragments, can be acquired as a function of temperature. There is ample evidence that conditions where slow-motional ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ spectra in the absence of MAS can be acquired exist [3,5,23,32,37,41,42].

### 3.1.5. Prospects

Several important problems underlie the current scarcity of experimental slow-motional ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{MAS}$ spectra. One of them is associated with the requirement for selective deuterium labeling. As noted above, there is substantial progress on this issue [34]. Efforts to alleviate the computational burden by incorporating into the ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{MOMD} / \mathrm{MAS}$ formalism pruning of basis sets [45] are in progress. The method developed in this study for ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ can be extended to the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO},{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spin systems.

## 4. Conclusions

A new method for the analysis of slow-motional ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ NMR lineshapes from polycrystalline samples in the presence of MAS has been developed. It is based on the MOMD approach, which represents the SLE developed by Freed et al. for restricted motions applied to macroscopically disordered samples. We have shown that ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{MOMD} / \mathrm{MAS}$ can describe internal mobility over a wide range of features within the scope of a single well-defined model.
${ }^{2} \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{MOMD} / \mathrm{MAS}$ lineshapes have has been compared to experimental lineshapes. The picture of structural dynamics that emerges from the ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H} /$ MOMD/MAS analysis differs substantially from that obtained using a two-site exchange scheme with unusual parameters. This illustrates the ability of ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{MOMD} / \mathrm{MAS}$ to provide useful insights.
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